

Quantitative Index Text Analyzer

Miroslav Kubát Vladimír Matlach Radek Čech

> 2014 RAM-Verlag

Studies in Quantitative Linguistics

Editors

Fengxiang Fan(fanfengxiang@yahoo.com)Emmerich Kelih(emmerich.kelih@uni-graz.at)Reinhard Köhler(koehler@uni-trier.de)Ján Mačutek(jmacutek@yahoo.com)Eric S. Wheeler(wheeler@ericwheeler.ca)

- 1. U. Strauss, F. Fan, G. Altmann, *Problems in quantitative linguistics 1*. 2008, VIII + 134 pp.
- 2. V. Altmann, G. Altmann, Anleitung zu quantitativen Textanalysen. Methoden und Anwendungen. 2008, IV+193 pp.
- 3. I.-I. Popescu, J. Mačutek, G. Altmann, *Aspects of word frequencies*. 2009, IV +198 pp.
- 4. R. Köhler, G. Altmann, *Problems in quantitative linguistics 2*. 2009, VII + 142 pp.
- 5. R. Köhler (ed.), Issues in Quantitative Linguistics. 2009, VI + 205 pp.
- 6. A. Tuzzi, I.-I. Popescu, G.Altmann, *Quantitative aspects of Italian texts*. 2010, IV+161 pp.
- 7. F. Fan, Y. Deng, *Quantitative linguistic computing with Perl.* 2010, VIII + 205 pp.
- 8. I.-I. Popescu et al., Vectors and codes of text. 2010, III + 162 pp.
- 9. F. Fan, Data processing and management for quantitative linguistics with Foxpro. 2010, V + 233 pp.
- 10. I.-I. Popescu, R. Čech, G. Altmann, *The lambda-structure of texts*. 2011, II + 181 pp
- 11. E. Kelih et al. (eds.), *Issues in Quantitative Linguistics Vol.* 2. 2011, IV + 188 pp.
- 12. R. Čech, G. Altmann, *Problems in quantitative linguistics Vol. 3.* 2011, VI + 168 pp.
- 13. R. Köhler, G. Altmann (eds.), *Issues in Quantitative Linguistics Vol. 3.* 2013, IV + 403 pp.

- 14. R. Köhler, G. Altmann, *Problems in Quantitative Linguistics Vol. 4.* 2014. VIII+148 pp.
- 15. Best, K.-H., Kelih, E. (eds.), *Entlehnungen und Fremdwörter: Quantitative Aspekte.* 2014. VI + 163 pp.
- 16. I.-I. Popescu, K.-H. Best, G. Altmann, *Unified modeling of length in Language*. 2014, VIII+123 pp.
- G. Altmann, R. Čech, J. Mačutek, L. Uhlířová (eds.), *Empirical Approaches* tot ext and Language Analysis dedicated to Luděk Hřebíček on the occasion of his 80th birthday. 2014, VI + 231 pp.
- 18. M. Kubát, V. Matlach, R. Čech, QUITA. Quantitative Index Text Analyzer. 2014, VII+106.

ISBN: 978-3-942303-28-6

© Copyright 2014 by RAM-Verlag, D-58515 Lüdenscheid, Germany

RAM-Verlag Stüttinghauser Ringstr. 44 D-58515 Lüdenscheid Germany <u>RAM-Verlag@t-online.de</u> <u>http://ram-verlag.de</u>

Contents

1	Introdu	ction	1						
2	System	requirements	3						
3	Install a	and Starting the Application	4						
4	Creatin	Creating a New Project							
5	Creatin	g and loading texts	7						
	5.1 Suj	pported files and encodings	8						
6	Indicate	ors to compute	9						
	6.1 Fre	equency Structure Indicators	10						
	6.1.1	Type-Token Ratio (TTR)	10						
	6.1.2	<i>h</i> -point (<i>h</i>)	11						
	6.1.3	<i>R</i> ₁	14						
	6.1.4	Repeat Rate (<i>RR</i>)	16						
	6.1.5	Relative Repeat Rate of McIntosh (<i>RR_{mc}</i>)	19						
	6.1.6	Hapax Legomena Percentage (HL)	20						
	6.1.7	Lambda (A)	21						
	6.1.8	Gini Coefficient (G)	30						
	6.1.9	<i>R</i> ₄	34						
	6.1.10	Curve length (<i>L</i>)	35						
	6.1.11	Curve length R Indicator (<i>R</i>)	36						
	6.1.12	Entropy (<i>H</i>)	38						
	6.1.13	Adjusted Modulus (A)	40						
	6.2 Mi	scellaneous indicators	42						
	6.2.1	Verb Distances (VD)	42						
	6.2.2	Activity (Q) & Descriptivity (D)	43						
	6.2.3	Writer's View (a)	44						
	6.2.4	Average Tokens length (ATL)	47						

6.2.5	Thematic Concentration (TC)	48
6.2.6	Secondary Thematic Concentration (STC)	
6.2.7	Proportional Thematic Concentration (PTC)	55
7 Pre-pro	ocessing: Tokenization, Lemmatization, POS Tagging	59
7.1 Q	UITA and third party tools	60
7.2 To	kenizer	60
7.2.1	Available tokenizers	
7.3 Le	emmatizer	63
7.4 PC	DS Tagger	65
8 Post-p	rocessing	66
8.1 N-	grams	66
8.2 Te	xt Length Reduction	67
9 Cache	Settings	69
10 Start	ting Calculations	70
11 Resu	ılts	71
11.1	Underlined Results alias "Alternative data"	71
11.1.1	Types	72
11.1.2	Tokens	73
11.1.3	Frequencies	73
11.1.4	POS Frequencies	74
11.1.5	Thematic concentration	74
11.1.6	Secondary Thematic Concentration	75
11.1.7	Activity	75
11.1.8	Descriptivity	76
11.1.9	Token Length Frequency Spectrum	76
11.2	Results tools	77
11.2.1	Text Selection	77
11.2.2	View Text	77

11.	.2.3 Compariso	on of Results	
11.	.2.4 Compariso	on of Projects	
11.	.2.5 Chart Wiz	zard	
11.	.2.6 Copy Resu	ults	
12	Fools		
12.1	Random Tex	xt Creator	
12.2	Binary File	Translator	91
13	Additional inform	mation	
14 I	References		
15	Appendix		
15.1	Text 1		
15.2	Text 2		
15.3	Word list of	Text 1 and Text 2	
15.4	Text 3		
15.5	Text 4		

1 Introduction

Quantitative experimental methods have been increasingly used in the humanities in recent years. We can hardly imagine the disciplines of social science, such as psychology, sociology or economics, without a quantitative approach. On the other hand, the majority of linguists, historians and especially literary critics are still refusing to use quantitative methods. One of the reasons is the fact that those researchers consider quantitative methods, and especially statistical methods, too difficult to apply to their field. QUITA (Quantitative Indicator Text Analyzer) is a tool which aims to help all people who try to analyse texts by quantitative methods.

QUITA is a program to enable researchers from various disciplines (linguistics, criticism, history, sociology, psychology, politics, biology, etc.) to analyse texts using quantitative methods. There are many indicators which measure various characteristics of text. Although the authors of QUITA focused mainly on indicators connected to the frequency structure of a text, there are also functions for several other characteristics. Since QUITA is designed especially for researchers outside quantitative linguistics, it includes functions for the most basic and common indicators.

Given that the main purpose of QUITA is to provide a user-friendly tool of quantitative text analysis for researchers without a deeper knowledge of quantitative linguistics, statistics or programming, QUITA also provides simple statistical comparisons and the ability to create charts. There is no need to use any additional software such as spreadsheet applications or special statistical programs. QUITA is therefore the program that combines all the essential parts of any quantitative research effort: obtaining results, statistical testing and graphical visualization.

The QUITA manual is written with step-by-step instructions. All tools are concisely described and accompanied by screen-shots. Every indicator is briefly presented (complete with references), and mathematically defined. There are also examples of computation and statistical comparison.

Although the manual provides users with all the essential information about QUITA, it was not possible to cover most topics in deeper detail. For this purpose, we highly recommend the book *Word frequency studies* (Popescu et al. 2009) which is a comprehensive overview about quantitative analysis using indicators based on the frequency structure of a text. The book *Aspects of Word Frequencies* (Popescu et al. 2009) is also well worth reading. Detailed examples of computing most indicators used in QUITA can be found in *Metody kvantitativní analýzy (nejen) básnických textů* (Čech et al. 2014).

Since we aim to help as many researchers as possible, QUITA is distributed as freeware. Thus anyone can use QUITA without any restrictions. The latest version of the software is available on the website <u>https://code.google.com/p/oltk/</u>. In published work, acknowledgement of QUITA would be appropriate and appreciated.

2 System requirements

Supported Systems: Windows XP, Windows Vista, Windows 7, Windows 8. System requirements: NET Framework 3.5 Optional system requirements: Python, Perl

NOTE:

Users are automatically notified that it is necessary to install the system requirements with the download links.

3 Install and Starting the Application

- 1. Download the latest installation file from the project website: <u>https://code.google.com/p/oltk/</u>.
- 2. Open the installation file and follow the installation instructions.
- 3. Create a new shortcut to the QUITA application on the user's desktop.
- 4. Start the application by clicking the application shortcut or start QUITA directly by opening the QUITA executable file (QITA_OLTK.exe), which can be found in the installation folder.

4 Creating a New Project

To start a new project, click on "Project" and "New Project" or just press keyboard shortcut CTRL+N.

ā	QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer							
	Proj	ject	Tools	Settings				
1	Nev		v Project	Ctrl+N				
		Exit						

The New Project window should appear with the "Project Settings – All" card active.

□☐ QUITA - Quantitative T	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0	The lot of						
Project Tools Settings Help								
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1								
Project Settings								
🖉 All	Project							
🗊 Texts	Project name:	Multiple Text Project 1						
Difference in the second secon	<u>M</u> orpho Analyzers							
Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic tokenizer	Default Tokenizer. Generic (a	ll languages) regex word split: ""\W+" -				
and Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]		•				
W POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing]		▼				
Cache	Post Processor:	Reduce text to: First 100 Tokens.	Reduces	text size into given number of tokens				
	Texts							
	≫ Create New Te	xt Add Text File(s) 📄 Add Text F	iles from Directory 👻 🖆 Load	Recent 🛛 📴 Prepend directory name 🛛 📑 Clear				
	Text N	Name File	Size [B] Preview					
< <u> </u>								
Status: Ready.			¢	Stop				

The card "Project Settings – All" displays a summary of the current project settings. Use the other cards below to see detailed settings of each particular setting for the project. This manual describes the following steps of project setup and (after processing) working with final results:



5 Creating and loading texts

New texts can be created or loaded in the main "Project Settings – All" card or, for better convenience and clarity, in the "Project Settings – Texts" card. There are five options for how to input a text into the project:

Prepend directory name
tes

- Click on "Create New Text" to write your own text.
- Click on "Add Text File(s)" to load a file or files from your drive.
- Click on "Add File(s) from Directory" to load all the files contained in a selected directory and all its sub-directories. By clicking on the arrow, you will get more choices for this option (mainly for limitation purposes):
 - "Maximum file size" and "Minimal file size" adjust the maximal and minimal file size in Bytes. Only files fitting the given size will be loaded.
 - "Use only one file with similar size of" specifies whether to load files whose size in Bytes is similar to the already loaded ones. This option helps to load files with unique sizes.
 - "Maximum files count" specifies the maximum number of files to load.
 - "Files containing in name" specifies the substring which has to be contained in the loaded file name.
 - "Randomize dictionary file list" prevents the system from loading files from a given dictionary in alphabetical order.
 - "Ignore binary files" specifies whether binary files (like images, sound files, executable files etc.) can be loaded or not. More about this feature below.
- Click on "Load Recent" for loading your recent text(s).
- Drag files with the mouse and drop them into the file list table.

The "Prepend directory name" button adds a directory name in front of the loaded file name to help disambiguate file identity (e.g. when loading numbered text files from two different directories).

All texts loaded into the project are listed in the Texts table. All the texts together can be removed by clicking on the "Clear" button; then replace them with new texts. A single text can be removed by selecting it and then pressing the Delete button on the keyboard.



5.1 Supported files and encodings

QUITA supports the usual plain text files in .txt format in various encodings. After a text file is loaded, QUITA attempts to detect a suitable text encoding for this file by several methods. However, the methods used for this task are mainly based on heuristics and may fail. The encoding of any loaded text can be changed by choosing a new encoding in the drop down menu on the right side of the file table. The new encoding is immediately applied to the text and its result can be seen in the Preview table cell. Each text in the project can have its own encoding and can be in any supported language (see the picture below).

Text Name	Preview	Encoding
arabic	بالعربية الحمض النووي الريبي منقوص الأكسجين أو كما	utf-8 🗾
chinese	脫氧核醣核酸(英语:deoxyribonucleic acid, 縮寫:DNA)又稱	utf-8 🗾
russian	Дезоксирибонуклеиновая кислота́ (ДНК) — макромоле	utf-16 🗾
czech	Ó Bože a Pane můj! ty ješto jsi světlo nikdy neza	windows-1250 💌

All the usual line endings (Cr, Lf, CrLf) are supported.

6. Indicators to compute

In the "Project Settings – Indicators to compute", you can tick all the indicators suitable for your purpose. Unticked indicators are not computed for the current project (except indicators which are in dependency with any ticked indicator(s)). However, a project can be processed again with a new selection of indicators.

Given that QUITA is aimed at researchers outside quantitative linguistics, there are only the most common and basic indicators to compute. Most indicators deal with the frequency structure of a text which is at the core of the QUITA aim. The indicators are therefore divided into two groups:

• Frequency Structure indicators

- Type-Token Ratio (TTR)
- \circ *h*-point (*h*)
- $\circ R_1$
- Repeat Rate (RR)
- Relative Repeat Rate of McIntosh (RR_{mc})
- Hapax Legomenon Percentage (HL)
- ο Lambda (Λ)
- Gini Coefficient (G)
- $\circ R_4$
- Curve length (L)
- Curve length R Indicator (R)
- \circ Entropy (*H*)
- Adjusted Modulus (A)

• Miscellaneous indicators

- Verb Distances (VD)
- Activity (Q) & Descriptivity (D)
- Writer's View (α)
- Average Tokens length (ATL)
- Thematic Concentration (TC)
- Secondary Thematic Concentration (STC)
- Proportional Thematic Concentration (*PTC*)

In the following chapters, every indicator is briefly presented, complete with references, and mathematically defined. Considering the manual is aimed at researchers without deeper mathematical skills, formulas for the indicators may not be comprehensible to everyone. Thus, each chapter is accompanied by an example. So an entire computation is described step by step in an example. For this purpose, two short English texts were selected, namely the second paragraph of the novel *Nineteen Eighty-Four* (Text 1) and the first two paragraphs of the

novel Animal Farm (Text 2) both written by George Orwell. These very short texts were chosen in order to enable readers to understand the examples in detail. The texts have similar lengths, Nineteen Eighty-Four 179 and Animal Farm 202 words. The texts can be found in the appendix of the manual; there also are their frequency distributions. Given that these two texts are not appropriate for *TC*, *STC* and *PTC* indicators, two poems were chosen for this purpose, namely *I Said To Love* by Thomas Hardy (Text 3) and *The Two Nests* (Text 4) by Dora Sigerson. Both poems can also be found in the appendix of the manual.

All the indicators used in QUITA are influenced by text length. Although some of them reduce this impact, there is no indicator without any text length dependence. The results obtained express the magnitude of an indicator only when you take into account the text size. Thus, only texts with the same lengths can be compared. The simplest solution to this problem is to reduce texts to the first *n* words, for example, the first 1000 words. For this purpose, QUITA enables an option to reduce texts. On the other hand, this method is problematic from a linguistic viewpoint. Quantitative linguistics has been struggling with this issue for long time and there is still no final solution. To show how much indicators are influenced by text size, each one is accompanied by a graph with the results of a quite big corpus, namely 658 texts from various genres written by Czech writer Karel Čapek.

It is important to mention that everyone has to decide which units will be used in a computation. The most usual options are word-forms and lemmas (about other options see 7.2 Tokenizer). It cannot be said which one is better because it depends on the aim of your research, the language, the text size, etc. In this manual, only word-forms are considered as the basic unit in all the examples.

6.1. Frequency Structure Indicators

6.1.1. Type-Token Ratio (TTR)

The type-token ratio is a basic indicator of vocabulary richness. It is based on the simple ratio between the number of types and the number of tokens in a text. In general, type-token distinguishes a concept (or general class of things) from its particular instance. The difference can be seen in the following sequence of letters: $a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ a \ d \ d$. There are five types (a, b, c, d, e) and eight tokens. The type "a" has two tokens and the type "d" has three tokens, other types have just one token. This distinction can be applied at many levels of language (letters, phonemes, words, sentences, etc.). The resulting value of TTR shows how much the vocabulary varies (the more vocabulary variation in a text, the higher the TTR). The formula of TTR is as follows:

$$(6.1) TTR = \frac{V}{N}$$

V...number of types

N...number of tokens

As can be seen in figure 6.1 *TTR* is extremely influenced by text size. Another disadvantage is the fact that no statistical test is defined for a comparison between two texts. To summarize, the simple type-token ratio is the easiest way to measure vocabulary richness but it has several weaknesses at the same time.



Figure 6.1. Text size impact on TTR in 658 Czech texts

Example

Text 1 has 179 tokens (V) and 119 types (N), Text 2 has 202 tokens and 121 types. The calculation using formula (6.1) is as follows:

$$TTR_{Text 1} = \frac{V}{N} = \frac{119}{179} = 0.665$$
$$TTR_{Text 2} = \frac{V}{N} = \frac{121}{202} = 0.59$$

Although, according to the results, Text 1 has richer vocabulary then Text 2, we are not able to decide whether the difference is significant due to the absence of a statistical test.

6.1.2. *h*-point (*h*)

The *h*-point was originally proposed by J. E. Hirsch for scientometrics (2005), and introduced into text analysis by Popescu (2009a). This point divides

vocabulary into two groups (synsemantics and autosemantics). The *h*-point is a fuzzy boundary point on the curve where the rank is the same as the frequency (r=f(r)). There are various applications of the *h*-point in quantitative linguistics, especially those related to thematic concentration or vocabulary richness indicators.



Figure 6.2. *h*-point in rank-frequency distribution

If the rank is not the same as the frequency, we can use the formula (6.2) to gain the h-point:

(6.2)
$$h = \frac{f(r_1)(r_2 - r_1) - [f(r_2) - f(r_1)]r_1}{r_2 - r_1 - [f(r_2) - f(r_1)]} = \frac{f(r_1)r_2 - f(r_2)r_1}{r_2 - r_1 + f(r_1) - f(r_2)}$$

r...rank f(r)...frequency of the rank



Figure 6.3. Text size impact on the *h*-point in 658 Czech texts

Example

The calculation of h-point is very easy in Text 1 because rank 5 has the same frequency 5, so the h-point is also 5.

Text 1						
Token	Rank	Frequency				
the	1	16				
it	2	7				
was	3	7				
of	4	7				
and	5	5				
а	6	5				
for	7	3				
you	8	3				
at	9	3				
face	10	3				

Table 6.1 First 10 tokens in Text 1

The situation is substantially different in Text 2 because there is no rank with the same frequency.

Text 2					
Token	Rank	Frequency			
the	1	20			
to	2	9			
was	3	8			
had	4	7			
he	5	4			
as	6	4			
а	7	4			
in	8	4			
of	9	4			
jones	10	3			

Table 6.2 First 10 tokens in Text 2

The computation of h-point using formula (6.2) is as follows.

$$h = \frac{f(r_1) r_2 - f(r_2) r_1}{r_2 - r_1 + f(r_1) - f(r_2)} = \frac{7 \cdot 5 - 4 \cdot 4}{5 - 4 + 7 - 4} = 4.75$$

6.1.3. *R*₁

 R_I is an indicator of vocabulary richness which is based on the *h*-point (*h*) (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)). This indicator reduces the impact of text length. The formula for calculating vocabulary richness indicator R_I is as follows:

(6.3)
$$R_1 = 1 - \left(F(h) - \frac{h^2}{2N}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{r=1}^h f_i}{N} - \frac{h^2}{2N}\right)$$

F(h)...cumulative relative frequency up to the *h*-point, i.e. it represents the *h*-coverage

h...*h*-point (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)).

The variance is defined as:

(6.4)
$$Var(R_1) = \frac{F(h)[1 - F(h)]}{N}$$

The asymptotic *u*-test can be used for comparing two resulting values.



Figure 6.4. Text size impact on R_1 in 658 Czech texts

Example

To calculate R_1 it is necessary to know three variables, namely N, h and F(h). The text lengths (N_1 =179, N_2 =202) and h-points (h_1 =5, h_2 =4.75) are known from the example above; frequencies F(h) can be found in Table 6.3.

	Text 1		Text 2		
Token	Rank	Frequency	Token	Rank	Frequency
the	1	16	the	1	20
it	2	7	to	2	9
was	3	7	was	3	8
of	4	7	had	4	7
and	5	5	he	5	4
а	6	5	as	6	4
for	7	3	a	7	4

Table 6.3 The frequency lists of Text 1 and Text 2

you	8	3	in	8	4
at	9	3	of	9	4
face	10	3	jones	10	3

$$R_{1(Text1)} = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{h} f_i}{N} - \frac{h^2}{2N}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{16 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 5}{179} - \frac{5^2}{2 \cdot 179}\right) = 0.8352$$

$$R_{1(Text2)} = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{h} f_i}{N} - \frac{h^2}{2N}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{20 + 9 + 8 + 7}{202} - \frac{4.75^2}{2 \cdot 202}\right) = 0.838$$

According to the obtained results Text 2 has higher vocabulary richness, but it must be discovered whether the difference (0.003) is significant. For this purpose we can use formula (6.5) but first, it is necessary to calculate the variances by formula (6.4).

$$Var(R_{1(1)}) = \frac{F(h)[1 - F(h)]}{N} = \frac{0.2346 \cdot (1 - 0.2346)}{179} = 0.00100314$$
$$Var(R_{1(2)}) = \frac{F(h)[1 - F(h)]}{N} = \frac{0.2178 \cdot (1 - 0.2178)}{202} = 0.00084338$$

Ν

All the relevant variables for the statistical test are known now, so we can use formula (6.5) to find out whether the two texts are significantly different.

202

$$u = \frac{\left|R_{1(1)} - R_{1(2)}\right|}{\sqrt{Var(R_{1(1)} + Var(R_{1(2)})}} = \frac{\left|0.8352 - 0.838\right|}{\sqrt{0.00100314 + 0.00084338}} = 0.065$$

Since the result 0.065 is lower than the threshold 1.96, it can be state that there is no significant difference. The threshold 1.96 is defined for the significance level 0.05.

6.1.4. Repeat Rate (*RR*)

The repeat rate shows the degree of vocabulary concentration in a text. In other words, this indicator measures vocabulary richness inversely: the higher RR is, the less vocabulary diversity a text has. Resulting values of RR are in the interval <1/V; 1>. The repeat rate is defined as:

(6.6)
$$RR = \sum_{r=1}^{V} p_i^2$$

V...number of types

 P_i ...individual probabilities, we estimate them by means of relative frequencies as follows:

$$(6.7) \qquad p_i = \frac{f_1}{N}$$

 f_1 ...absolute frequencies N...number of tokens

The aforementioned formulas can be transformed into one:

(6.8)
$$RR = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i^2$$

A formula to obtain variances is defined as:

(6.9)
$$Var(RR) = \frac{4}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_r^3 - RR^2 \right)$$

The asymptotic *u*-test can be used for comparing two resulting values:

(6.10)
$$u = \frac{|RR_1| - |RR_2|}{\sqrt{var(RR_1) + var(RR_2)}}$$



Figure 6.5. Text size impact on RR in 658 Czech texts

Example

First, we use formula (6.8) to obtain *RR* values.

$$RR_{Text 1} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i^2 = \frac{16^2 + 7^2 + 7^2 + 7^2 + 5^2 + 5^2 + 3^2 + \dots + 1^2}{179^2} = 0.0197$$
$$RR_{Text 2} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i^2 = \frac{20^2 + 9^2 + 8^2 + 7^2 + 4^2 + 4^2 + 4^2 + \dots + 1^2}{202^2} = 0.02147$$

Second, we gain variances using formula (6.9).

$$Var(RR_{1}) = \frac{4}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_{r}^{3} - RR^{2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{4}{179} \left(\frac{16^{3} + 7^{3} + 7^{3} + 7^{3} + 5^{3} + 5^{3} + 3^{3} + \dots + 1^{3}}{179^{3}} - 0.0197^{2} \right) = 0.00001341$$
$$Var(RR_{2}) = \frac{4}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_{r}^{3} - RR^{2} \right)$$

$$=\frac{4}{202}\left(\frac{20^3+9^3+8^3+7^3+4^3+4^3+4^3+\cdots+1^3}{202^3}-0.02147^2\right)=0.00001555$$

The last step is to discover whether the *RR* resulting values of the two texts differ significantly using formula (6.10).

$$u = \frac{|RR_1| - |RR_2|}{\sqrt{var(RR_1) + var(RR_2)}} = \frac{|0.0197| - |0.02147|}{\sqrt{0.00001341 + 0.00001555}} = 0.32$$

Since the threshold value is 1.96, u<1.96 means that the difference between the two texts is not significant.

6.1.5. Relative Repeat Rate of McIntosh (*RR_{mc}*)

In order to compare the repeat rate with other indicators, the relative repeat rate RR_{mc} was proposed by McIntosh. RR_{mc} puts the results in the interval <0;1>. The formula is as follows:

(6.11)
$$RR_{mc} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{RR}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{V}}$$

RR...Repeat Rate (see 6.1.4 Repeat Rate (*RR*)) V...number of types



Figure 6.6. Text size impact on RR_{mc} in 658 Czech texts

Example

$$RR_{mc(Text\ 1)} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{RR}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{V}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{0.0197}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{119}} = 0.946$$
$$RR_{mc(Text\ 2)} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{RR}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{V}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{0.02147}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{121}} = 0.939$$

6.1.6. Hapax Legomena Percentage (HL)

Hapax Legomena Percentage (*HL*) is a simple ratio between the number of tokens (*N*) and number of hapax legomena (N_h) in a text. The hapax legomena (sg. hapax legomenon) are the words that occur only once in a single text. The formula is as follows:

$$(6.12) HL = \frac{N_h}{N}$$

N...number of tokens N_h ...number of hapax legomena



Figure 6.7. Text size impact on HL in 658 Czech texts

Example

$$HL_1 = \frac{N_h}{N} = \frac{98}{179} = 0.547$$
$$HL_2 = \frac{N_h}{N} = \frac{92}{202} = 0.455$$

6.1.7. Lambda (A)

The lambda (Λ) is an indicator which deals with a frequency structure of text. On the one hand, the lambda is related to vocabulary richness, and on the other hand, it takes into account the relationship between neighbouring frequencies. For example, we have three texts with the same length (N=20) and the same vocabulary size (V=10). So, the type-token ratio (TTR) (see 6.1.1 Type-Token Ratio (TTR)) is also the same (10/20=0.5). If we look at Table 6.4 and Figure 6.8, we can see that three hypothetical texts with the same N and V can have different relations between frequencies.

text 1		text 2		text 3	
rank	frequency	rank	frequency	rank	frequency
1	5	1	6	1	2
2	4	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	2	3	2
4	2	4	2	4	2
5	1	5	2	5	2
6	1	6	2	6	2
7	1	7	1	7	2
8	1	8	1	8	2
9	1	9	1	9	2
10	1	10	1	10	2

Table 6.4The rank-frequency structures of three hypothetical texts



Figure 6.8. The rank-frequency structures of three hypothetical texts

From the example, it is obvious that the specific development of frequency structure is an important phenomenon which expresses an individual's way of writing. This indicator, therefore, is suitable for analyses of authorship, genres, etc. The formula for the computation is defined as:

(6.13)
$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\log_{10} N)}{N}$$

N...length of the text (in tokens) *L*...arc length of the rank-frequency distribution

The formula for *L* is as follows:

(6.14)
$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{V-1} [(f_i - f_{i+1})^2 + 1]^{1/2}$$

 f_i ...absolute frequencies V...the highest rank.



Figure 6.9. Text size impact on Lambda in 658 Czech texts

Example

Before computing lambda, it is necessary to obtain L using formula (6.14). The process is displayed in Table 6.5 and Table 6.6.

word-form	i	f	$[(f_i - f_{i+1})^2 + 1]^{1/2}$	result
the	1	16	$[(16-7)^2+1]^{1/2}$	9.055385138
it	2	7	$[(7-7)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
was	3	7	$[(7-7)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
of	4	7	$[(7-5)^2+1]^{1/2}$	2.236067977
and	5	5	$[(5-5)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
a	6	5	$[(5-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	2.236067977
for	7	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
you	8	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
at	9	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
face	10	3	$[(3-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562

Table 6.5Computation L in Text 1

times	11	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
had	12	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
enormous	13	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
on	14	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
with	15	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
wall	16	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
winston	17	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
about	18	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
lift	19	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
poster	20	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
one	21	2	$[(2-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562
ankle	22	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hate	23	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
preparation	24	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
his	25	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
right	26	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
drive	27	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
economy	28	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
resting	29	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
in	30	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
went	31	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
slowly	32	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
thirty	33	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
nine	34	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
flights	35	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
up	36	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
who	37	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
seven	38	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
flat	39	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
week	40	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
above	41	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
varicose	42	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
ulcer	43	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
follow	44	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1

when	45	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
move	46	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
contrived	47	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
that	48	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
eyes	49	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
big	50	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
caption	51	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
beneath	52	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
ran	53	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
brother	54	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
is	55	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
watching	56	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
landing	57	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
opposite	58	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
shaft	59	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
several	60	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
way	61	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
each	62	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
gazed	63	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
which	64	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
are	65	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
SO	66	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
from	67	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
those	68	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
pictures	69	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
depicted	70	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
simply	71	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
an	72	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
been	73	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
tacked	74	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
to	75	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
wide	76	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
man	77	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
forty	78	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1

more	79	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
then	8 0	1	[(1-1) + 1]	1
	00	1	[(1-1) + 1]	1
metre	81		[(1-1) +1]	1
display	82	l	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	l
cabbage	83	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
old	84	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
rag	85	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hallway	86	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
smelt	87	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
boiled	88	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
too	89	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
large	90	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
indoor	91	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
mats	92	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
end	93	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
coloured	94	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
present	95	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
electric	96	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
current	97	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
best	98	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
seldom	99	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
working	100	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
daylight	101	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hours	102	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
part	103	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
cut	104	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
off	105	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
during	106	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
even	107	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
moustache	108	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
ruggedly	109	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
handsome	110	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
five	111	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
heavy	112	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
	1		l	l

$L_1 = 129.3559482$				
stairs	119	1		
made	118	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
features	117	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
trying	116	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
use	115	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
no	114	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
black	113	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1

Table 6.6Computation L in Text 2

word-form	i	f	$[(f_i - f_{i+1})^2 + 1]^{1/2}$	result
the	1	20	$[(20-9)^2+1]^{1/2}$	11.04536102
to	2	9	$[(9-8)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562
was	3	8	$[(8-7)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1. 414213562
had	4	7	$[(7-4)^2+1]^{1/2}$	3.16227766
he	5	4	$[(4-4)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
as	6	4	$[(4-4)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
a	7	4	$[(4-4)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
in	8	4	$[(4-4)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
of	9	4	$[(4-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562
jones	10	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
and	11	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
from	12	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
his	13	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
farm	14	3	$[(3-3)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
that	15	3	$[(3-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562
been	16	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
light	17	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
it	18	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
SO	19	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
side	20	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
way	21	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
on	22	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
night	23	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
all	24	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
major	25	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1

mr	26	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
old	27	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
soon	28	2	$[(2-2)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
out	29	2	$[(2-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1.414213562
dream	30	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
strange	31	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
prize	32	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
they	33	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
agreed	34	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
day	35	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
animals	36	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
wished	37	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
middle	38	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
white	39	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
communicate	40	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
previous	41	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
other	42	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
boar	43	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
should	44	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
ready	45	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
lose	46	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
an	47	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
regarded	48	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
everyone	49	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
quite	50	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hour	51	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hear	52	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
what	53	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
say	54	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
S	55	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
sleep	56	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
order	57	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
highly	58	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
safely	59	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
always	60	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
called	61	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
meet	62	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
big	63	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
barn	64	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
though	65	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
------------	-----	---	---------------------	---
exhibited	66	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
willingdon	67	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
beauty	68	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
name	69	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
under	70	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
which	71	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
during	72	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
dancing	73	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
lurched	74	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
across	75	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
with	76	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
ring	77	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
lantern	78	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
boots	79	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
at	80	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
back	81	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
yard	82	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
kicked	83	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
off	84	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
houses	85	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
for	86	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
but	87	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
manor	88	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
locked	89	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
hen	90	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
shut	91	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
pop	92	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
holes	93	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
too	94	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
drunk	95	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
remember	96	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
door	97	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
went	98	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
there	99	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
stirring	100	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
already	101	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
snoring	102	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
bedroom	103	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1

word	104	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
	104	1	$[(1 \ 1)^2 + 1]^{1/2}$	1
gone	105	1	[(1-1)+1]	1
round	106	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
fluttering	107	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
through	108	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
buildings	109	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
glass	110	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
beer	111	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
barrel	112	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
drew	113	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
himself	114	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
last	115	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
bed	116	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
where	117	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
mrs	118	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
scullery	119	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
made	120	1	$[(1-1)^2+1]^{1/2}$	1
up	121	1		
		L_{2}	₂ = 134.2787065	

Once the values of L are obtained, we can use them in formula (6.13) to obtain lambda.

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{L(\log_{10} N)}{N} = \frac{129.3559482(\log_{10} 179)}{179} = 1.628$$
$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{L(\log_{10} N)}{N} = \frac{134.2787065(\log_{10} 202)}{202} = 1.5325$$

6.1.8. Gini Coefficient (G)

The Gini coefficient is a well-known measure of statistical dispersion, used especially in economics. In linguistics, G is applicable to text analysis as one of many tools for vocabulary richness measurement. The Gini coefficient is based on the Lorenz curve.



Figure 6.10. Lorenz curve

To create the Lorenz curve, two changes in a frequency distribution of tokens must be made. First, rank must be assigned in a reverse order (i.e. the smallest frequency obtains rank 1, the next equal or greater obtains rank 2, etc. Second, ranks and frequencies must be relativized to put variables in the interval <0; 1> (rank: rr=r/V; frequency: pr = fr/N).

If each word would occur exactly once, the sequence $\langle rr, pr \rangle$ would be a straight line practically between [0,0] and [1,1]. In this case, a text has maximal vocabulary richness. The Gini coefficient is the distance between the diagonal and the sequence of cumulative frequencies (the Lorenz curve). *G* is defined as:

(6.15)
$$G = \frac{1}{V} \left(V + 1 - \frac{2}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{V} rf(r) \right) = \frac{1}{V} (V + 1 - 2m_1')$$

(6.16)
$$m_1 = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{V} rf(r)}{N}$$

V...number of types

N...number of tokens r...rank f(r)...individual frequency $m_1...$ average frequency distribution

The variance is defined as:

(6.17)
$$Var(G) = \frac{4}{V^2} Var(m_1') = \frac{4m_2}{V^2 N}$$

(6.18)
$$m_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{V} (r_i - m_1')^2 f(r_i)$$

M_2 ...variance of frequency distribution

For a comparison of two texts we can use the asymptotic *u*-test:



Figure 6.11. Text size impact on G in 658 Czech texts

Example

The first step is to obtain m_1 by formula (6.16)

$$m_{1(Text\ 1)} = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{V} rf(r)}{N} = \frac{16 \cdot 1 + 7 \cdot 2 + 7 \cdot 3 + 7 \cdot 4 + 5 \cdot 5 + \dots + 1 \cdot 119}{179}$$
$$= 41.88268156$$
$$m_{1(Text\ 2)} = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{V} rf(r)}{N} = \frac{20 \cdot 1 + 9 \cdot 2 + 8 \cdot 3 + 7 \cdot 4 + 4 \cdot 5 + \dots + 1 \cdot 121}{202}$$

When we have m_1 values, we can compute G using formula (6.15)

$$G_{Text 1} = \frac{1}{V}(V + 1 - 2m'_{1}) = \frac{1}{119}(119 + 1 - 2 \cdot 41.88268156) = 0.3045$$
$$G_{Text 2} = \frac{1}{V}(V + 1 - 2m'_{1}) = \frac{1}{121}(121 + 1 - 2 \cdot 39.75742574) = 0.3511$$

Although we know the Gini coefficient, we must find out whether the differences between two texts are significant. For this purpose we can use *u*-test (formula 6.19), but it is necessary to know the variances (formula 6.17) and m_2 values (formula 6.18).

$$m_{2(Text 1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{V} (r_i - m'_1)^2 f(r_i)$$

= $\frac{(1 - 41.8827)^2 \cdot 16 + (2 - 41.8827)^2 \cdot 7 + (3 - 41.8827)^2 \cdot 7 + \dots + (119 - 41.8827)^2 \cdot 1}{179}$

= 1445.6564

$$m_{2(Text 2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{V} (r_i - m'_1)^2 f(r_i)$$

= $\frac{(1 - 39.7574)^2 \cdot 20 + (2 - 39.7574)^2 \cdot 9 + (3 - 39.7574)^2 \cdot 8 + \dots + (121 - 39.7574)^2 \cdot 1}{202}$

= 1429.55

$$Var(G_1) = \frac{4m_2}{V^2N} = \frac{4 \cdot 1445.6564}{119^2 \cdot 179} = 0.002281277$$

$$Var(G_2) = \frac{4m_2}{V^2N} = \frac{4 \cdot 1429.55}{121^2 \cdot 202} = 0.001933469$$

$$u = \frac{|G_1 - G_2|}{\sqrt{Var(G_1) + Var(G_2)}} = \frac{|0.3045 - 0.3511|}{\sqrt{0.002281277 + 0.001933469}} = 0.72$$

Since the threshold is 1.96, U < 1.96 means that there is no significant difference between two texts.

6.1.9. Indicator R_4

 R_4 is one of many ways of calculating vocabulary richness of a text. In fact, this indicator is the reversed Gini coefficient (*G*) (see 6.1.8 Gini Coefficient (*G*)). R_4 is therefore defined as:

(6.20) $R_4 = 1 - G$

G...Gini coefficient (see 6.1.8 Gini Coefficient (G))



Figure 6.12. Text size impact on R_4 in 658 Czech texts

Example

 $R_{4(Text 1)} = 1 - G = 1 - 0.3045 = 0.6955$

 $R_{4(Text 2)} = 1 - G = 1 - 0.3511 = 0.6489$

6.1.10. Curve length (*L*)

Many vocabulary richness indicators are based on the curve of rank-frequency distribution, like this:



Figure 6.13. Example of typical rank-frequency distribution The length of the curve is defined as the sum of the Euclidean distances (D_r) between all adjacent points on the curve:

(6.21)
$$L = \sum_{r=1}^{V-1} D_r = \sum_{r=1}^{V-1} \sqrt{\left(f(r) - f(r+1)\right)^2 + 1}$$

f...individual frequency *r*...individual rank



Figure 6.14. Text size impact on L in 658 Czech texts

Example

We obtain the curve length of our two texts by formula (6.21)

$$L_{1} = \sum_{r=1}^{V-1} \sqrt{\left(f(r) - f(r+1)\right)^{2} + 1}$$

= $\sqrt{(16-7)^{2} + 1} + \sqrt{(7-7)^{2} + 1} + \sqrt{(7-7)^{2} + 1}$
+ $\sqrt{(7-5)^{2} + 1} + \dots + \sqrt{(1-1)^{2} + 1} = 129.3559$
$$L_{2} = \sum_{r=1}^{V-1} \sqrt{\left(f(r) - f(r+1)\right)^{2} + 1}$$

= $\sqrt{(20-9)^{2} + 1} + \sqrt{(9-8)^{2} + 1} + \sqrt{(8-7)^{2} + 1}$
+ $\sqrt{(7-4)^{2} + 1} + \dots + \sqrt{(1-1)^{2} + 1} = 134.2787$

6.1.11. Curve length R Indicator (R)

This indicator of vocabulary richness is directly derived from the curve length (L) (see 6.1.10 Curve length (L)). In fact, R is the ratio of the curve length above

the *h*-point (L_h) (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (h)) to the whole curve length (L). The formula is as follows:

$$(6.22) R = 1 - \frac{L_h}{L}$$

(6.23)
$$L_h = \sum_{r=1}^h \sqrt{[f(r) - f(r+1)]^2 + 1}$$

 L_h ...curve length above *h*-point (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)) L...curve length (see 6.1.10 Curve length (*L*)) f...individual frequency

r...individual rank



Figure 6.15. Text size impact on R in 658 Czech texts

Example

The first step is to calculate the curve length above h-point by formula (6.23)

$$L_{h(Text \ 1)} = \sum_{r=1}^{h} \sqrt{[f(r) - f(r+1)]^2 + 1} = \sqrt{(16 - 7)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(7 - 7)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(7 - 7)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(7 - 7)^2 + 1} = 14.29145$$

$$L_{h(Text \ 2)} = \sum_{r=1}^{h} \sqrt{[f(r) - f(r+1)]^2 + 1} = \sqrt{(20 - 9)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(9 - 8)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(8 - 7)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(7 - 4)^2} = 18.03607$$

The second step is to obtain R values using formula (6.22)

$$R_{Text 1} = 1 - \frac{L_h}{L} = 1 - \frac{14.29145}{129.3559} = 0.8895$$
$$R_{Text 2} = 1 - \frac{L_h}{L} = 1 - \frac{18.03607}{134.2787} = 0.8657$$

6.1.12. Entropy (H)

The term "entropy" is used in many sciences and there are several definitions with different meanings. In general, entropy measures diversity or uncertainty. In linguistics, entropy expresses how much the vocabulary of a text is concentrated. The smaller the H is, the more the vocabulary is concentrated and the smaller the vocabulary richness is. For example, if a text consists of 100 tokens and only one type, all frequencies are concentrated in one word and H=0. The most common formula of entropy in linguistics was defined by Shannon:

(6.24)
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i \operatorname{ld} p_i$$

$$(6.25) \qquad p_i = \frac{f_i}{N}$$

 P_i ...individual probabilities (estimated by relative frequencies)

K...inventory size

ld...logarithm to the base 2

 f_i ...absolute frequency

(6.26)
$$H = \log_2 N - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i \log_2 f_i$$

The equation of the variance is as follows:

(6.27)
$$Var(H) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_i (\log_2 p_i)^2 - H^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} \frac{f_i}{N} \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{f_i}{N} \right) \right)^2 - H^2 \right)$$

For comparison of two texts, we can use the asymptotic *u*-test:

(6.28)
$$u = \frac{|H_1 - H_2|}{\sqrt{Var(H_1) + Var(H_2)}}$$



Figure 6.16. Text size impact on H in 658 Czech texts

Example

Entropy values can be directly obtained using just one formula (6.26).

$$H_{Text 1} = \log_2 N - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i \log_2 f_i$$

= $\log_2 179 - \frac{16 \cdot \log_2 16 + 7 \cdot \log_2 7 + 7 \cdot \log_2 7 + \dots + 1 \cdot \log_2 1}{179} = 6.438043$

$$H_{Text 2} = \log_2 N - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{V} f_i \log_2 f_i$$

$$= \log_2 202 - \frac{20 \cdot \log_2 20 + 9 \cdot \log_2 9 + 8 \cdot \log_2 8 + \dots + 1 \cdot \log_2 1}{202} = 6.395099$$

The statistical comparison of two texts can be performed by the *u*-test (6.28), but we must firstly know the variances using formula (6.27).

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}_{\operatorname{Text} 1}(H) &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_i (\log_2 p_i)^2 - H^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} \frac{f_i}{N} \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{f_i}{N} \right) \right)^2 - H^2 \right) \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{179} \left[\frac{16}{179} \left(\log_2 \frac{16}{179} \right)^2 + \frac{7}{179} \left(\log_2 \frac{7}{179} \right)^2 + \cdots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{179} \left(\log_2 \frac{1}{179} \right)^2 \right] - 6.438043^2 \right| = 0.010355601 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}_{\operatorname{Text 2}}(H) &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} p_i (\log_2 p_i)^2 - H^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{V} \frac{f_i}{N} \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{f_i}{N} \right) \right)^2 - H^2 \right) \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{202} \left[\frac{20}{202} \left(\log_2 \frac{20}{202} \right)^2 + \frac{9}{202} \left(\log_2 \frac{9}{202} \right)^2 + \cdots \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{202} \left(\log_2 \frac{1}{202} \right)^2 \right] - 6.395099^2 \right| = 0.010345126 \end{aligned}$$

$$u = \frac{|H_1 - H_2|}{\sqrt{Var(H_1) + Var(H_2)}} = \frac{|6.438043 - 6.395099|}{\sqrt{0.010355601 + 0.010345126}} = 0.027$$

Given that the result of the *u*-test (0.027) is smaller than the threshold (1.96), the difference between the two texts is not significant.

6.1.13. Adjusted Modulus (A)

The adjusted modulus (A) is a frequency structure indicator which is supposed to be independent of text length. Nevertheless it can be seen in Figure 6.17 that this indicator is also influenced by text size. The formula of the adjusted modulus is defined as:

$$(6.29) \qquad A = \frac{M}{\log_{10} N}$$

(6.30)
$$M = \left(\left(\frac{f(1)}{h}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{V}{h}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{h}(f(1)^2 + V^2)^{1/2}$$

h...h-point (see 6.1.2 h-point (h)) f(1)...the frequency of the most frequent word V...vocabulary size M...modulus



Figure 6.17. Text size impact on A in 658 Czech texts

Example

The calculation of the adjusted modulus consists of two steps. We must first obtain the values of the modulus (M) by formula (6.30) and then we can use formula 6.29 to calculate the adjusted modulus.

$$M_{Text 1} = \frac{1}{h} (f(1)^2 + V^2)^{1/2} = \frac{(16^2 + 119^2)^{1/2}}{5} = 24.01416249$$
$$M_{Text 2} = \frac{1}{h} (f(1)^2 + V^2)^{1/2} = \frac{(20^2 + 121^2)^{1/2}}{4.75} = 25.81931678$$
$$A_{Text 1} = \frac{M}{\log_{10} N} = \frac{24.01416249}{\log_{10} 179} = 10.6594$$

$$A_{Text \ 1} = \frac{M}{\log_{10} N} = \frac{25.81931678}{\log_{10} 202} = 11.1997$$

6.2. Miscellaneous indicators

6.2.1. Verb Distances (VD)

This indicator counts how many tokens on average are between two successive verbs. To obtain the results of VD it is necessary to select an appropriate POS (part of speech) tagger (see 7.4 POS Tagger) in the project settings.



Figure 6.18. Text size impact on VD in 658 Czech texts

Example

Since QUITA has to recognize verbs in a text to compute verb distances, you must choose one of the available POS taggers before making the calculation. Given that our two texts are in English, there is only the NLTK POS tagger (see 7.4 POS Tagger) available. QUITA counts average gaps (in tokens) between two successive verbs in a text. In our case, we obtain the following results:

VD_{Text 1}=6.357 *VD_{Text 2}*=5.432

6.2.2. Activity (Q) & Descriptivity (D)

The concept of the activity and the descriptivity measurement in a text is very simple. The activity is represented by verbs and the descriptivity is represented by adjectives. The formula for activity (Q) is as follows:

$$(6.31) \qquad Q = \frac{V}{V+A}$$

V...number of verbs *A*...number of adjectives

For a comparison of two texts we can use the *u*-test:

(6.32)
$$u = \frac{|Q_1 - Q_2|}{\sqrt{Q_1 Q_2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{V_1} + \frac{1}{A_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} + \frac{1}{V_2}}}$$

The descriptivity (D) is just reversed value of the activity (Q). D is therefore defined as:

$$(6.33) D = 1 - Q$$



Figure 6.19. Text size impact on Q in 658 Czech texts

Example

The calculation by formula 6.31 is very simple; we need just to know the number of verbs and the number of adjectives in a text.

$$Q_{Text 1} = \frac{V}{V+A} = \frac{26}{26+14} = 0.65$$
$$Q_{Text 2} = \frac{V}{V+A} = \frac{35}{35+8} = 0.814$$

The difference obtained between two texts can be statistically compared by the *u*-test using formula 6.32.

$$u = \frac{|Q_1 - Q_2|}{\sqrt{Q_1 Q_2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{V_1} + \frac{1}{A_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} + \frac{1}{V_2}}} = \frac{|0.65 - 0.814|}{\sqrt{0.68 \cdot 0.814} \sqrt{\frac{1}{26} + \frac{1}{14} + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{1}{8}}} = 0.43$$

Descriptivity can be directly calculated from the results obtained for activity by formula (6.33).

$$D_{Text 1} = 1 - Q = 1 - 0.65 = 0.35$$

 $D_{Text 2} = 1 - Q = 1 - 0.814 = 0.186$

6.2.3. Writer's View (*a*)

The writer's view is an indicator connected to the golden ratio. It is supposed that each author of any text must abide by some universal law, namely the golden ratio. The writer's view is defined by the angle between the *h*-point (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)) and the ends of the rank-frequency distribution. The results should approximate to the value of the golden ratio ($\varphi \sim 1.618$).



Figure 6.20. Example of writer's view in rank-frequency distribution

The equation of the writer's view is as follows:

(6.34)
$$\cos \alpha = \frac{-[(h-1)(f_1-h) + (h-1)(V-h)]}{[(h-1)^2 + (f_1-h)^2]^{1/2}[(h-1)^2 + (V-h)^2]^{1/2}}$$

h...h-point (see 6.1.2 h-point (h)) $f_1...$ the highest frequency V...number of types



Figure 6.21. Text size impact on Writer's view in 658 Czech texts

Example

Although the writer's view is defined by one formula (6.34), it is necessary to do two steps because the results must be converted from degrees to radians.

$$\cos \alpha_{Text \ 1} = \frac{-[(h-1)(f_1-h) + (h-1)(V-h)]}{[(h-1)^2 + (f_1-h)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}[(h-1)^2 + (V-h)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
$$= \frac{-[(5-1)(16-5) + (5-1)(119-5)]}{[(5-1)^2 + (16-5)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}[(5-1)^2 + (119-5)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
$$= -0.374487816$$

$$\cos \alpha_{Text \, 2} = \frac{-[(h-1)(f_1-h) + (h-1)(V-h)]}{[(h-1)^2 + (f_1-h)^2]^{1/2}[(h-1)^2 + (V-h)^2]^{1/2}}$$
$$= \frac{-[(4.75-1)(20-4.75) + (4.75-1)(121-4.75)]}{[(4.75-1)^2 + (20-4.75)^2]^{1/2}[(4.75-1)^2 + (121-4.75)^2]^{1/2}}$$
$$= -0.269972586$$

We must convert the results of $\cos a$ to radians. Firstly we compute *a* from cosine (*a*) using a calculator. Finally we can convert degrees to radians.

$$a_{Text 1} = \frac{\alpha \cdot \pi}{180} = \frac{111.9926603 \cdot \pi}{180} = 1.9546$$
$$a_{Text 2} = \frac{\alpha \cdot \pi}{180} = \frac{105.6626356 \cdot \pi}{180} = 1.8442$$

6.2.4. Average Token length (ATL)

The resulting value simply shows the arithmetic mean of the lengths of tokens. *ATL* is defined as:

(6.35)
$$ATL = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$

N...number of tokens *x*...individual length



Figure 6.22. Text size impact on *ATL* in 658 Czech texts

Example

$$ATL_{Text \ 1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \frac{3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+\cdots+6}{179} = 4.252$$

$$ATL_{Text \ 2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \frac{3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+\cdots+2}{202} = 3.975$$

6.2.5. Thematic Concentration (TC)

The thematic concentration is a topic focusing measurement of a text. Each author of any text focuses on some topic which is represented by several autosemantic words. TC measures how much a text is concentrated on some topic: the bigger TC is, the more concentrated on some issue a text is.

The thematic concentration is based on the *h*-point (*h*) (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)) which divides vocabulary into synsemantics and autosemantics. Nevertheless, among synsemantics often occur several autosemantics. We can therefore consider these autosemantics above the *h*-point as some anomaly. These autosemantics are considered as thematic words of a text. *TC* is the sum of thematic weights (TW) of the individual thematic words. The thematic weight is defined as the distance between the *h*-point and the rank of a word above the *h*-point multiplied by its frequency f (r'):

(6.36)
$$TW_{word} = 2\frac{(h-r')f(r')}{h(h-1)f(1)}$$

(6.37)
$$TC = \sum_{r'=1}^{T} 2 \frac{(h-r')f(r')}{h(h-1)f(1)}$$

r'...rank of autosemantic word above *h*-point *h*...*h*-point (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)) *T*...number of thematic words

The variance of *TC* is defined as:

(6.38)
$$Var(TC) = \left[\frac{2}{h(h-1)f(1)}\right]^2 nm_{2r'}$$

(6.39)
$$m_{2r'} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')}$$

(6.40)
$$m_{1r'} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')}$$

n...sum of frequencies of the autosemantics

For a comparison of two texts we can use the asymptotic *u*-test:



Figure 6.23. Text size impact on Thematic concentration in 658 Czech texts

Example

Given that Text 1 and Text 2 are not appropriate for *TC*, we used two poems, namely *I Said To Love* (Text 3) by Thomas Hardy and *The Two Nests* (Text 4) by Dora Sigerson. The texts can be found in the appendix of the manual.

	Text 3, h=5.5					
Token	Rank	Average rank	Frequency			
to	1	1	8			
said	2	2.5	7			
i	3	2.5	7			
the	4	4.5	6			
love	5	4.5	6			

	Table 6.7	
The rank-freq	juency distrib	oution of Text 3

we	6	6.5	5
thee	7	6.5	5
of	8	9	4
not	9	9	4
thou	10	9	4
now	11	11.5	3
in	12	11.5	3

Table 6.8 The rank-frequency distribution of Text 4

	Text 4, h=6					
Token	Rank	Average rank	Frequency			
her	1	1.5	16			
the	2	1.5	16			
wise	3	3.5	8			
thrush	4	3.5	8			
she	5	5	7			
in	6	6	6			
foolish	7	9.5	4			
young	8	9.5	4			
on	9	9.5	4			
were	10	9.5	4			
but	11	9.5	4			
and	12	9.5	4			
а	13	14.5	3			
all	14	14.5	3			
pretty	15	14.5	3			
to	16	14.5	3			

The first step is to find the *h*-point (see 6.1.2 h-point (*h*)).

$$h_{Text \ 3} = \frac{f(r_1) \, r_2 - f(r_2) r_1}{r_2 - r_1 + f(r_1) - f(r_2)} = \frac{6 \cdot 6 - 5 \cdot 5}{6 - 5 + 6 - 5} = 5.5$$

In Text 4 r=f(r), so h=6.

When we have h values, we must find thematic words above the h-point in the word lists. We usually consider nouns, verbs and adjectives to be thematic words, but one can use also other parts of speech. There is also a problem with verbs which have only grammatical function. So everybody must decide which words

would be considered to be thematic words. Given that some lemmatizers in QUITA are not able to separate verbs with grammatical function from verbs with content function, the software considers all verbs as thematic words. It is therefore necessary to calculate the thematic concentration by hand sometimes.

The next step is to compute TC using formula (6.37).

$$TC_{Text 3} = 2 \sum_{r'=1}^{T} \frac{(h-r')f(r')}{h(h-1)f(1)} = 2 \frac{(5.5-2.5)7}{5.5(5.5-1)8} + 2 \frac{(5.5-4.5)6}{5.5(5.5-1)8} = 0.242424$$

$$TC_{Text 4} = 2\sum_{r'=1}^{T} \frac{(h-r')f(r')}{h(h-1)f(1)} = 2\frac{(6-3.5)8}{6(6-1)16} + 2\frac{(6-3.5)8}{6(6-1)16} = 0.166667$$

It is necessary to compute the variance for the final statistical test:

$$Var(TC)_{Text 3} = \left[\frac{2}{h(h-1)f(1)}\right]^2 nm_{2r'} = \left[\frac{2}{5.5(5.5-1)8}\right]^2 13 \cdot 0.994083$$
$$= 0.00131855$$

$$m_{2r'_{Text 3}} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')}$$
$$= \frac{(2.5 - 3.423077)^2 7 + (4.5 - 3.423077)^2 6}{7 + 6} = 0.994083$$

$$m_{1r'_{Text 3}} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')} = \frac{2.5 \cdot 7 + 4.5 \cdot 6}{7 + 6} = 3.423077$$

$$Var(TC)_{Text 4} = \left[\frac{2}{h(h-1)f(1)}\right]^2 nm_{2r'} = \left[\frac{2}{6(6-1)1}\right]^2 16 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

$$m_{2r'_{Text\,4}} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')} = \frac{(3.5 - 3.5)^2 8 + (3.5 - 3.5)^2 8}{8 + 8} = 0$$

$$m_{1r'_{Text 4}} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')} = \frac{3.5 \cdot 8 + 3.5 \cdot 8}{8 + 8} = 3.5$$

The last step is to discover whether the resulting *TC* values of the two texts differ significantly from each other using formula (6.41).

$$u = \frac{|TC_1 - TC_2|}{\sqrt{Var(TC_1) + Var(TC_2)}} = \frac{|0.242424 - 0.166667|}{\sqrt{0.00131855 + 0}} = 2.09$$

Since the threshold value is 1.96, u>1.96 means that the difference between the two texts is significant.

6.2.6. Secondary Thematic Concentration (STC)

The secondary thematic concentration is almost the same indicator as the thematic concentration above (see 6.2.5 Thematic Concentration (TC)). The computation process differs only in the *h*-point (see 6.1.2 *h*-point (*h*)) which is multiplied by 2.

Thematic concentration struggles with a problem of quite often null results. It means that there is no autosemantic word above the h-point in many texts, so the results of thematic concentration must be 0. This fact complicates the use of the indicator. Given that secondary thematic concentration covers a bigger number of words, there is a higher probability that the result will not be 0.

(6.42)
$$STC = \sum_{r'=1}^{2h} \frac{(2h-r')f(r')}{h(2h-1)f(1)}$$

The variance of *STC* is defined as:

(6.43)
$$Var(STC) = \frac{nm_{2r'}}{[h(2h-1)f(1)]^2}$$

(6.44)
$$m_{2r'} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')}$$

(6.45)
$$m_{1r'} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')}$$

For a comparison of the two texts we can use the asymptotic *u*-test:



Figure 6.24. Text size impact on Secondary thematic concentration in 658 Czech texts

Example

We used the same texts (Text 3 and Text 4) as in the TC example above. So we do not have to compute the *h*-points again. The problem with choosing thematic words from the word list is also discussed in the chapter above.

	Text 3, h=5.5				
Tokon	Donla	Averag	Eraguanau		
TOKEII	Kalik	e rank	Frequency		
to	1	1	8		
said	2	2.5	7		
i	3	2.5	7		
the	4	4.5	6		
love	5	4.5	6		
we	6	6.5	5		
thee	7	6.5	5		
of	8	9	4		

Table 6.9 The rank-frequency distribution of Text 3

not	9	9	4		
thou	10	9	4		
now	11	11.5	3		
in	12	11.5	3		
Table 6.10					

The rank-frequency distribution of Text 4

	Text 4, h=6						
Token	Rank Averag		Frequency				
her	1	1.5	16				
the	2	1.5	16				
wise	3	3.5	8				
thrush	4	3.5	8				
she	5	5	7				
in	6	6	6				
foolish	7	9.5	4				
young	8	9.5	4				
on	9	9.5	4				
were	10	9.5	4				
but	11	9.5	4				
and	12	9.5	4				
a	13	14.5	3				
all	14	14.5	3				
pretty	15	14.5	3				
to	16	14.5	3				

Firstly, we use formula (6.42) to obtain *STC* values.

$$STC_{Text 3} = \sum_{r'=1}^{2h} \frac{(2h-r')f(r')}{h(2h-1)f(1)} = \frac{(2 \cdot 5.5 - 2.5)7}{5.5(2 \cdot 5.5 - 1)8} + \frac{(2 \cdot 5.5 - 4.5)6}{5.5(2 \cdot 5.5 - 1)8} = 0.223864$$

$$STC_{Text 4} = \sum_{r'=1}^{2h} \frac{(2h-r')f(r')}{h(2h-1)f(1)}$$

= $\frac{(2 \cdot 6 - 3.5)8}{6(2 \cdot 6 - 1)16} + \frac{(2 \cdot 6 - 3.5)8}{6(2 \cdot 6 - 1)16} + \frac{(2 \cdot 6 - 9.5)4}{6(2 \cdot 6 - 1)16}$
+ $\frac{(2 \cdot 6 - 9.5)4}{6(2 \cdot 6 - 1)16} = 0.147727$

It is necessary to compute the variance for the final statistical test:

$$Var(STC)_{Text 3} = \frac{nm_{2r'}}{[h(2h-1)f(1)]^2} = \frac{13 \cdot 0.994083}{[5.5(2 \cdot 5.5 - 1)8]^2} = 0.000066751$$
$$m_{2r'Text 3} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')} = \frac{(2.5 - 3.423077)^27 + (4.5 - 3.423077)^26}{7 + 6}$$

$$m_{1r'_{Text 3}} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')} = \frac{2.5 \cdot 7 + 4.5 \cdot 6}{7 + 6} = 3.423077$$

$$Var(STC)_{Text \ 4} = \frac{nm_{2r'}}{[h(2h-1)f(1)]^2} = \frac{24 \cdot 8}{[6(2 \cdot 6 - 1)16]^2} = 0.000172176$$

$$m_{2r'_{Text 4}} = \frac{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} (r' - m_{1r'})^2 f(r')}{\sum_{r'=1}^{T} f(r')}$$

= $\frac{(3.5 - 5.5)^2 8 + (3.5 - 5.5)^2 8 + (9.5 - 5.5)^2 4 + (9.5 - 5.5)^2 4}{8 + 8 + 4 + 4} = 8$
 $m_{1r'_{Text 4}} = \frac{\sum r' f(r')}{\sum f(r')} = \frac{3.5 \cdot 8 + 3.5 \cdot 8 + 9.5 \cdot 4 + 9.5 \cdot 4}{8 + 8 + 4 + 4} = 5.5$

The last step is to discover whether the STC resulting values of the two texts differ significantly from each other using formula (6.46).

$$u = \frac{|STC_1 - STC_2|}{\sqrt{Var(STC_1) + Var(STC_2)}} = \frac{|0.223864 - 0.147727|}{\sqrt{0.000066751 + 0.000172176}} = 4.93$$

Since the threshold value is 1.96, u>1.96 means that the difference between the two texts is significant.

6.2.7. Proportional Thematic Concentration (*PTC*)

The proportional thematic concentration is a different way of approaching thematic concentration. PTC is also based on the *h*-point and the index is computed as the proportion of thematic words in the pre-*h*-domain. The formula is as follows:

(6.47)
$$PTC = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{r' \le h} f(r')$$

N_{h} ...frequency of all words in the pre-*h*-domain f(r')...frequency of autosemantic word in the pre-*h*-domain

The variance of *PTC* is defined as:

(6.48)
$$Var(PTC) = \frac{PTC(1 - PTC)}{N_h}$$

For a comparison of two texts we can use the asymptotic *u*-test:

(6.49)
$$u = \frac{|PTC_1 - PTC_2|}{\sqrt{Var(PTC_1) + Var(PTC_2)}}$$



Figure 6.25. Text size impact on Proportional thematic concentration in 658 Czech texts

Example

We used the same texts (Text 3 and Text 4) as in the TC and STC examples above. So we do not have to compute the h-points again. The problem with choosing thematic words from the word list is also discussed in the chapters above.

	Te	ext 3, h=5.	.5
Token	Rank	Averag e rank	Frequency
to	1	1	8
said	2	2.5	7
i	3	2.5	7
the	4	4.5	6
love	5	4.5	6
we	6	6.5	5
thee	7	6.5	5
of	8	9	4
not	9	9	4
thou	10	9	4
now	11	11.5	3
in	12	11.5	3

Table 6.11 The rank-frequency distribution of Text 3

Table 6.12The rank-frequency distribution of Text 4

	Text	4, h=6	
Token	Rank	Average rank	Frequency
her	1	1.5	16
the	2	1.5	16
wise	3	3.5	8
thrush	4	3.5	8
she	5	5	7
in	6	6	6
foolish	7	9.5	4
young	8	9.5	4
on	9	9.5	4
were	10	9.5	4
but	11	9.5	4
and	12	9.5	4
a	13	14.5	3
all	14	14.5	3
pretty	15	14.5	3
to	16	14.5	3

$$PTC_{Text \ 3} = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{r' \le h} f(r') = \frac{13}{34} = 0.38235$$

$$PTC_{Text 4} = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{r' \le h} f(r') = \frac{16}{61} = 0.262295$$

$$Var(PTC)_{Text 3} = \frac{PTC(1 - PTC)}{N_h} = \frac{0.38235(1 - 0.38235)}{34} = 0.0069458$$

$$Var(PTC)_{Text 4} = \frac{PTC(1 - PTC)}{N_h} = \frac{0.262295(1 - 0.262295)}{61} = 0.003172$$

$$u = \frac{|PTC_1 - PTC_2|}{\sqrt{Var(PTC_1) + Var(PTC_2)}} = \frac{|0.38235 - 0.262295|}{\sqrt{0.0069458 + 0.003172}} = 1.19$$

Since the threshold value is 1.96, u<1.96 means that the difference between the two texts is not significant.

7. Pre-processing: Tokenization, Lemmatization, POS Tagging

QUITA's main task is to reduce the amount of work needed for quantitative analysis of texts. For this reason, QUITA implements the most important tools and interfaces for pre-processing such texts to create their final form capable and suitable for quantitative analysis. The pre-processing procedure starts with the necessary step of tokenization (recognition of single words) and then proceeds through two optional steps: lemmatization and POS (part of speech) tagging. Lemmatization is an optional step as long as the user does not require the use of lemmas or when the user supplies an already lemmatized text as an input to QUITA. POS tagging is also an optional pre-processing step which (internally) supplies part of speech tag for every token.

To clarify the process and the exact order of applying all of the preprocessing tools mentioned above, see the following flow chart:



NOTE: The actual model of text pre-processing might be not suitable for efficient and accurate lemmatization or POS Tagging because of passing tokens or lemmas into the Lemmatizer or POS Tagger tools individually (without any context). Lemmatization and POS Tagging tools use contextual information. But you can overcome this problem by inputting already lemmatized text into QUITA in vertical format (lemma-per-line format; for details, see further in this section). Unfortunately, for this first version of QUITA, this problem cannot be overcome for the POS Tagging pre-processing tool.

7.1. QUITA and third party tools

QUITA has the ability to cooperate with programs that allow communication through the system standard input/output (known as "pipe" or STDIN, STDOUT), including tools such as Python scripts, Perl scripts, executable command line tools (EXE files, ...) or Internet Web applications with the POST/GET interface. The actually available third party programs were chosen for preview reason only. Adding new tools is not supported in the current version but is planned for the next version of QUITA.

7.2. Tokenizer

Every text inputted into QUITA has to be tokenized before the computation of any indicators can begin. You can find all the available tokenizers in the "Project Settings – Tokenizer" card where you can also tick the one you want to use, or, you can simply set the desired tokenizer in "Project Settings – All (summary)" card.

QUITA - Quantitative Te	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0		-	a descent of	-				x
Project Tools Settin	ngs								Help
MTP-Multiple Text	Project 1								• ×
Ø All	Name	Description	Author	Plugin Requisites	Plugin Version	Plugin Author	Status	Reliability	
∋ Texts	[GENERIC]								
	Default generic tokeni	Default Toke					ОК	0	
Indexes to compute	Generic char tokenizer	Treats each c					ОК	0	
Tokenizer	DNA1	Treats line as					OK	0	_
agg Lemmatizer	DNA Triplet Tokenizer	Splits DNA nu					ок	0	
M POS Tagger	DNA Nucleotide Toke	Treats each n					ОК	0	E
Cache	Text-Processing.com	http://www.te	Text	Internet	1.0	VM	ОК	90	
		http://nltk.org/	NLTK	Python, NLTK	1.0	VM	ок	100	
	Text-Processing.com	http://www.te	Text	Internet	1.0	VM	ОК	90	
	Text-Processing.com	http://www.te	Text	Internet	1.0	VM	ОК	90	-
Status: Ready.					Stop	\$	<u>S</u> ta	art!	

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0					
Project Tools Settings Help					
MTP-Multiple Text	t Project 1		• X		
Reproject Settings					
Ø All	Project				
∋ Texts	Project name: Multiple Text Project 1				
Indexes to compute	- <u>M</u> orpho Analyzers -				
🔳 Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic tokenizer	Default Tokenizer. Generic (all languages) regex word split: 🖵		
ang Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[GENERIC] Default generic tokenizer	Default Tokenizer, Generic (all languages) regey word solit: ""It		
POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	Generic char tokenizer	Treats each character as a token. Treate line as a token		
Cache	Post Processor:	∑ [DNA]	Trous me as a loten.		
	Texts	DNA Nucleotide Tokenizer DNA Triplet Tokenizer	Treats each nucleotide as a token. Solits DNA nucleotide string into triplets.		
	🔊 Create New Te	ext CAR	-,		
	Text	Nar [90%] Text-Processing.com	http://www.text-processing.com		
		[100%] NLTK	http://nltk.org/		
		[90%] Text-Processing.com	http://www.text-processing.com		
		[90%] Text-Processing.com	http://www.text-processing.com		
	•	III	4		
	Text count: 0, Size:	0 MB			
Status: Ready.			Stop Statt		
			A 2rolr.		

7.2.1. Available tokenizers

QUITA contains several basic built-in tokenizers:

- Default generic tokenizer this tokenizer uses the regular expression "\W+" to split tokens by "non words characters" (anything except A-Z and 0-9 chars).
- Line Tokenizer treats each line as a single token this tokenizer allows users to tokenize text on their own and then supply this fully tokenized text to QUITA in the usual token-per-line format.
- Generic char tokenizer treats each character as a single token.
- DNA Triplet Tokenizer treats each three characters as a token. FNA (FASTA) files are fully supported description lines (starting with ">" character) are ignored while tokenizing.
- DNA Nucleotide Tokenizer treats each character as a token. FNA (FASTA) files are fully supported description lines are ignored while tokenizing.

All tokenizers in QUITA:

- Ignore non-letter or number (see below) single character tokens. In other words: all tokens with length = 1 are removed when the only char is not a letter or is a number (see further).
- Ignore tokens that are numbers and non-alphanumeric characters. This behaviour can be turned off by ticking "Treat Numbers as words" and "Treat non-alphanumeric characters as words" in the menu Settings (see Figure below).

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0				
Project Tools Set	ings			
MTP-Multipl	Treat Numbers as v	words	1	
R Project Set	Treat non-alphanu	meric characters as words		
Ø All	Reset N-Grams			
∋ Texts	Project name:	Multiple Text Project 1		
Indexes to compute	<u>M</u> orpho Analyzers			
🔳 Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic tokenizer	Default To	
$\mathcal{B}_{\underline{\mathcal{P}}_{\underline{A}}}$ Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]		
W POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing]		
Cache	Post Processor:	[Nothing]		

7.3. Lemmatizer

Given that word-forms do not have to be suitable units for some texts (especially those written in inflected languages, e.g. Slavonic languages), QUITA enables users to lemmatize a text. Click on the "Project Settings – Lemmatizer" card, and as with the Tokenizer settings, just tick the one you want; or you can easily set the desired lemmatizer in the "Project Settings – All" card.

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0						
Project Tools Settings						
MTP-Multiple Text	Project 1				• ×	
Reproject Settings						
Ø All	Name	Description	Author	Plugin Requisites	^	
∋ Texts	AR	.		5.4 11.54		
Indexes to compute	Text-Processing.com	Stemmer only - http://nltk.org/ http://www.text-processing.com	NLIK Text-Proce	Python, NLTK Internet	E	
📰 Tokenizer	CZ		D I Å			
angeneratizer	Czech-National-Corpu	http://nip.ri.muni.cz/ma/free.html Lemmatizer based on data from ww	Czech-Nati			
· M POS Tagger	Majka+Corpus	Compounded lemmatizer based on	' Pavel Šmer			
Cache	NLTK Snowball Stem	Stemmer only - http://nltk.org/	NLTK	Python, NLTK		
	NLTK Snowball Stem	Stemmer only - http://nltk.org/	NLTK	Python, NLTK		
	EN Alexander Pak's Morp		Alexander	Python		
	NLTK	http://nltk.org/	NLTK	Python, NLTK		
	Text-Processing.com	http://www.text-processing.com	Text-Proce	Internet	-	
	•				•	
Statue: Ready						
Status, neddy.			Stop	⇒ <u>S</u> tart!		

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0					
Project Tools Settings					
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1					
& Project Settings					
Ø All	<u>P</u> roject				
∋ Texts	Project name: Multiple Text Project 1		t Project 1		
Indexes to compute	Morpho Analyzers				
Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default ger	neric tokenizer		
and Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]			
🚊 POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing AR]		
Cache	Post Processor:	[80%] [90%]	NLTK ISRI Stemmer Text-Processing.com		
	Texts	CZ			
	ở Create New Text Text Na	[60%] [70%] [80%] DE [80%]	MAJKA Czech-National-Corpus Static Majka+Corpus		
		DK			
		[80%]	NLTK Snowball Stemmer		
		EN [100%]	NITK		

As mentioned above, you can supply the already lemmatized and tokenized text in lemma-per-line format to QUITA while setting the tokenizer tool to "Line Tokenizer" and the lemmatizer tool to "[Nothing]"; or you can supply just a lemmatized text in a common format and let QUITA tokenize it with any of the supported tokenizers.

The list of available lemmatizers:

- Arabic (AR),
- Czech (CZ),
- German (DE),
- Danish (DK),
- English (EN),
- Spanish (ES),
- Finnish (FI),
- French (FR),
- Italian (IT),
- Dutch (NL),
- Portuguese (PT),
- Romanian (RO),
- Russian (RU),
- Swedish (SE).

7.4. POS Tagger

The POS Tagger allows users to distinguish parts of speech in a text. You can set the POS Tagger in the "Project Settings – POS Tagger" card or in the "Project Settings – All" card. To active this option, click on "POS Tagger" and tick the one you want. The actually supported POS Taggers were chosen for this preview only. Support for other POS Taggers by plugins will be added in future versions of QUITA.

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative T	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0				- O X				
Project Tools Setti	ngs				Help				
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1									
R Project Settings									
Ø All	Name	Description	Author	Plugin Requisites	Plugin Version				
∋ Texts	CZ	http://nlp.fi.muni.cz/ma/free	Pavel Šmer		10				
Indexes to compute	Czech-National-Corpu	Lemmatizer based on data fr	Czech-Nati		1.0				
🖻 Tokenizer	Majka+Corpus	Compounded lemmatizer ba	Pavel Šmer		1.0				
angle Lemmatizer	NLTK	http://nltk.org/	NLTK	Python, NLTK	1.0				
∭ POS Tagger									
Cache									
	•	III			4				
Status: Ready.			Stop	\$	<u>S</u> tart!				

NOTE: The Lemmatizer and POS Tagger overview tables contain a Reliability column which is reserved for future use and meanwhile does not provide any important information.

8. Post-processing

After the pre-processing, the raw text is transformed into its final form which can be edited, in light of all the data obtained from the tokenization, lemmatization and POS tagging. The output of the post-processing procedure is then passed as a final output used for calculations. The setting of the post-processing tool can be found in the "Project settings – All" card (see figure below).

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative Te	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0			×
Project Tools Settin	ngs		н	elp
MTP-Multiple Text	Project 1		•	x
Reproject Settings				
Ø All	<u>P</u> roject			
∋ Texts	Project name:	Multiple Text Project 1		
Indexes to compute	Morpho Analyzers			=
E Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic tokenizer	Default Tokenizer. Generic (all languages).	
aggi Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]		-
∭ POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing]	-]
Cache	Post Processor:	[Nothing]	•	2
	Texts Text Nav Text Nav Text Count: 0, Size: 0 M	[Nothing] N-Grams 2-Gram 3-Gram 4-Gram 5-Gram 6-Gram 7-Gram Reduce text to: First 100 Tokens. Reduce text to: First 1000 Tokens. Reduce text to: First 10000 Tokens. Reduce text to: First 100 000 Tokens. Reduce text to: Jirst 100 000 Tokens. Reduce text to: User defined First Toh III	Bi-Gram creator Tri-Gram creator Tenta-Gram creator Penta-Gram creator Hepta-Gram creator Septa-Gram creator User defined n-gram creator Reduces text size into given num Reduces text size into given num Reduces text size into given num Reduces text size into given num	6 6 6
Status: Ready.			Stop Start!	

8.1. N-grams

An N-gram is a continuous sequence consisting of n units in a given text. The Ngrams in a text can then be counted. Characters and words are mostly regarded as units but one can consider phonemes, syllables, sentences, etc. as units too. Click on the "Post Processor" arrow to open a menu where you can choose from bigrams, tri-grams, tetra-grams or you can select whatever n-grams you want. N-gram settings can be removed by clicking on "Reset N-Grams" in "Settings".

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative T	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0		
Project Tools Setti	ngs		Help
MTP-Multiple Text	Project 1		- ×
R Project Settings			
Ø All	Project		
∋> Texts	Project name:	Multiple Text Project 1	
Indexes to compute	Mombo Analyzers		
Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic tokenizer	Default Tokenizer. Generic (all languages) regex w. 👻
ay Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]	
🕸 POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing]	▼
Cache	Post Processor:	[Nothing]	•
	Texts	[Nothing]	ĥ
	🔊 Create New Text	2-Gram	Bi-Gram creator
	Text Na	3-Gram 4-Gram	Tri-Gram creator Tetra-Gram creator
		5-Gram	Penta-Gram creator
		6-Gram	Hepta-Gram creator
		7-Gram	Septa-Gram creator
	•	N-Gram Reducing	User defined n-gram creator
		Reduce text to: First 100 Tokens	Reduces text size into given number of tokens
		Reduce text to: First 1 000 Tokens	Reduces text size into given number of tokens
		Reduce text to: First 10 000 Tokens.	Reduces text size into given number of tokens.
Status: Ready.		Reduce text to: First 100 000 Tokens.	Reduces text size into given number of tokens.
		Reduce text to: User defined First Token	s. Reduces text size into given number of tokens.

N-grams are units which can be used in several fields such as probability, communication theory, natural language processing, computational biology or data compression. In quantitative linguistics, n-grams are usually used in stylometry. N-grams even seem to be the most powerful tool in authorship attribution.

Although studies based on n-grams yield very good results, it is important to mention that there are also considerable disadvantages. These units are not used in traditional linguistics because they are not connected to any linguistic theory. So N-grams represent text surface features rather than language.

8.2. Text Length Reduction

Since most indicators of frequency structure are influenced by text length, comparing resulting values between texts of different sizes can be misleading. The easiest way to avoid this problem is to reduce texts to the first n tokens. Click on the "Post Processor" arrow to open a menu where you can reduce texts to the first n tokens.

Although text reduction is a simple solution, it is very problematic from a linguistics point of view. Linguists consider each text as one cohesive unit. Thus, only complete texts can be analysed without any misleading results. So each researcher has to decide which solution is better in his or her analysis. It depends on many aspects of the research and it is therefore impossible to say which solution is better in general. That is why each indicator in this manual is accompanied by a graph which shows the results in 658 texts of various lengths – everyone can see how much each indicator is influenced by the text size.

9. Cache Settings

Using tokenizers, lemmatizers and POS taggers may require a lot of time. If a user wants to show only the word list, the data are loaded from the cache memory (the text is not tokenized, lemmatized or tagged again). Thus, the process is much faster. On the other hand, if you work with many texts, the cache memory may be overloaded. On the "Cache" card, you can adjust the memory settings:

- Disable Cache
- Enable Cache
 - Cache Tokens (prevents repeating tokenization)
 - Cache Types (prevents repeating lemmatization)
 - Cache Frequency Table (prevents repeating time consuming calculations)

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative Tex	t Analyzer 1.1.6.0					
Project Tools Setting	js Help					
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1 🔹 🗸						
Reproject Settings						
Ø All						
∋ Texts						
Dindexes to compute	Cache Settings O Disable Cache at All (I do not need to display Tokens, Types, Frequencies at all or I can wait for its reproce					
≡ Tokenizer	Enable Cache (I want to display Tokens, Types, Frequencies or I can not wait/use reprocessing)					
angle Lemmatizer	Cache Tokens (prevents from repeating tokenization)					
(M) POS Tagger	Cache Types (prevents from repeating lemmatization)					
Cache	Cache Frequency Table (prevents from repeating time consuming calculations)					
_						
Status: Ready.						
	Stop					

Every user must decide which cache settings are appropriate for the current study. Generally, it is recommended to use cache memory only when you work with few texts.

10. Starting Calculations

After selecting all the desired options, the computing process is ready to begin. Just click on the "Start!" button at the bottom right and wait until a new window with results opens.

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0								
Project Tools Setti	ings				Help			
MTP-Multiple Text	t Project 1				• ×			
A Project Settings	& Project Settings							
🖉 All	Project							
∋ Texts	Project name:	Multiple Text Project	1					
Indexes to compute	Morpho Analyzers							
📃 Tokenizer	Tokenizer:	Default generic toke	enizer Default Tol	kenizer. Genel	ric (all languages). 👻			
angle Lemmatizer	Lemmatizer:	[Nothing]			-			
) POS Tagger	POS Tagger:	[Nothing]						
Cache	Post Processor:	[Nothing]						
	Texts							
	≫ <u>C</u> reate New Te	d 🗋 Add <u>T</u> ext File(s	;) 📄 Add Text Files from <u>D</u>	irectory 👻	🔓 Clear 👳			
	Text N	lame	File	Size [B]	Preview			
	🔽 🧾 anglick	e listy 01	C:\upol\PhD\dis	3 806	ANGLIE PRVNÍ			
	🔽 🗐 anglick	e listy 02	C:\upol\PhD\dis	2 901	ANGLICKÝ PARK			
	🔽 📃 anglick	e listy 03	C:\upol\PhD\dis	4 441	LONDÝNSKÉ ULI			
	🔽 📗 anglick	e listy 04	C:\upol\PhD\dis	4 124	TRAFFIC Ale :			
	🔽 📗 anglick	e listy 05	C:\upol\PhD\dis	4 971	HYDE PARK A : 👻			
	•	111			Þ			
	Text count: 8, Size: 0	MB						
Status: Ready.			Stop	\$	<u>S</u> tart!			

11. Results

Once the computing process has finished, a window with the results will open. All the results are displayed in a table.

	QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0						
P	Project Tools Settings Help						
	🖷 MTP-Multiple Te	ext Project 1					- ×
a	Project Setting	gs Σ Results					
2	Select 🗕 🗋 View	text (F3) 🕞 Com	pare values 👻 🔳 🤇	Compare Projects	🎡 Chart <u>W</u> izard (F5) 🖺 Copy result	s ▼ Display: [Ξ
	Text	Types	Tokens	Frequencies	TTR	h-Point	Entropy
Þ	anglicke listy 01	412	657	[]	0.627093	8.6	8.115661
	anglicke listy 02	308	483	[]	0.637681	7.5	7.753321
	anglicke listy 03	469	730	[]	0.642466	9	8.248429
	anglicke listy 04	439	720	[]	0.609722	10	8.043328
	anglicke listy 05	511	821	[]	0.622412	8	8.312523
	anglicke listy 06	332	482	[]	0.688797	6	7.872301
	anglicke listy 07	351	549	[]	0.639344	6.5	7.936304
	anglicke listy 08	359	494	[]	0.726721	6.5	8.039171
•	III						4

11.1. Underlined Results alias "Alternative data"

The underlined results (also called "Alternative data") are data obtained from the calculation of given indices which might be interesting for the user to see. For example, the calculation of the "Types" index produces alternative data: a list of all types in given text. This list is then accessible by double-clicking on the underlined result of the Type index and is then displayed in a new tab page, as can be seen below:

QUITA -	Quantit	ative Text Analyzer
Project	Tools	Settings
/ 🖷 MTP	-Multipl	e Text Project 1
R Proje	ect Set	tings Σ Results 🗐 [texty bez anotace] bila_nemoc> Types 💥
Selected re	ows: 💷	Color • Tr Text style • Copy results •
#		
1	1	bílý
2	2	nemoc
3	3	předmluva
4	4	první
5	5	podnět
6	6	k
7	7	tento
8	8	hra
9	9	dát
10	10	já
11	11	před

While displaying alternative data, you can alternate text style ("Text style" menu) and background color ("Color" menu) of each line to mark interesting lines. You can also use the "Copy results" menu copy the whole table to the clipboard or export it to a CSV file and then import it to any table processor, such as Excel or OpenOffice Calc.

NOTES:

- The TAB character is used as delimiter for CSV files. The file encoding is set to UTF-8.
- For importing data to Excel, it is better to use the "Copy to Clipboard" option (and then just paste it by CTRL+V keyboard shortcut directly into an Excel table) rather than importing CSV files, which is problematic for some reason.

11.1.1. Types

The Alternative data of Types (count) index (as has been already mentioned) is a list of all types appearing in given text. The order of the displayed types and its indexation is based on the first appearance of given type: While the first word will be always displayed as the first type in this list, its next appearance is not listed again. Thus: Any list of types will likely follow the original order of words in the text while ignoring next occurrences of already listed types.

For better clarity, let's look at this sentence: "Fox jumps over the lazy dog. Fox is great." The Alternative data of Types index is a list of types:

- 1. Fox,
- 2. jumps,
- 3. over,
- 4. the,
- 5. lazy,
- 6. dog,
- 7. is,
- 8. great.

The type "Fox" has already been mentioned in the types list and is not listed again.

11.1.2. Tokens

The Alternative data of Tokens (count) index contain a list of tokens obtained from the given text. The order and indexation in the displayed list has the same order as the original text. The order can be mismatched, however, in the case when QUITA detects invalid tokens (e.g. numbers when the option "Treat numbers as words" is unticked; see 7.2.1 Available tokenizers for more details).

11.1.3. Frequencies

There can be displayed a list of types or lemmas in a text with rank, frequency and percentage of their occurrence (counted for the whole text).

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0						
Project	Tools Settin	igs		Help		
🕖 🖷 МТР-	Multiple Text	Project 1		• ×		
2 Proje	ct Settings	Σ Resu	Its 🔲 anglicke listy 01> Frequencies 🔉	٢		
Selected ro	ws: 🔛 Color	• Tr Text st	yle 👻 💼 Copy results 👻			
#	Lemma	Frequency	%			
1	být	49	7.458			
2	а	24	3.653			
3	ten	17	2.588			
4	že	15	2.283			
5	v	13	1.979			
6	se	13	1.979			
7	na	11	1.674			
8	mít	9	1.37			
9	já	9	1.37			
10	člověk	8	1.218			
11	nebo	6	0.913	-		
				•		

11.1.4. POS Frequencies

Similarly to Frequencies, POS Frequencies display a list of the parts of speech in a text with their frequency and percentage (counted for the whole text).

🖳 QUITA -	Quantitative Tex	t Analy:	zer 1.1.6.0					
Project	Tools Setting	ļs	Help					
MTP	MTP-Multiple Text Project 1							
Σ Resu	ılts 🔲 anglio	cke lis	sty 01> POS Frequencies 🗶 💽 🕐					
Selected re	ows: 🔛 Color 🗸	Tr Tex	t style 👻 💼 Copy results 👻					
#	POS	Count	%					
1	VERB	134	20.396					
2	NOUN	133	20.244					
3	PRONOUN	78	11.872					
4	ADVERB	75	11.416					
5	CONJUNCTION	74	11.263					
6	ADJECTIVE	62	9.437					
7	PREPOSITION	60	9.132					
8	UNKNOWN	26	3.957					
9	NUMBER	13	1.979					
10	PARTICLE	2	0.304					
•		"	4					

11.1.5. Thematic concentration

There is a list of so-called thematic words with their rank, average rank, frequency, part of speech, thematic weigh (TW), h, r', f(r') and f(1).

🖳 QUI	🖳 QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0							
Proje	t Tools Set	tings					Help	
	MTP-Multiple Text Project 1							
🔏 Pi	oject Setting	s Σ Res	sults	ang	licke li	sty 08> TC	×	
Selecte	ed rows: 🏢 Colo	r v Tr Text	style 🗸	🖺 Сор	y results	-		
Index	Averaged-Rank	Frequency	Lemma	Туре	ΤV	Calculation		
5	5	7	číslo	NOUN	0.01	h=6;r'=5;f(r')=7	f(1)=37;	
•	< >							

11.1.6. Secondary Thematic Concentration

There are the same columns as in the "Thematic Concentration". The secondary concentration differs only in the *h*-point (*h*) (see 6.1.2 h-point) which is multiplied by 2. Thus there are many more thematic words.

11.1.7. Activity

There is a list of verbs and adjectives in a text with the cumulative sums for Q, A+V, V, A.

🖳 QUITA - 🤇	Quantitative Text Ana	lyzer 1.1.6.0			x
Project	Tools Settings				Help
🚽 МТР-	Multiple Text Projec	t 1			• ×
2 Proje	ct Settings Σ F	lesults	an	glicke listy 01> Activity	×
Selected ro	ws: 🏢 Color 🕶 Tr T	ext style 👻 🛙	Co	opy results 👻	
A+V	Q	Lemma	M	IAI	^
1	1	začínat	1	0	
2	0.5	babylónský	1	1	
3	0.66666666666666	ztratit	2	1	
4	0.75	začít	3	1	
5	0.6	opečený	3	2	
6	0.5	londýnský	3	3	
7	0.571428571428571	vidět	4	3	
8	0.625	začínat	5	3	-
•	III				•

11.1.8. Descriptivity

There is a list of verbs and adjectives in a text with the cumulative sums for D, A+V, V, A.

🖳 QUITA -	Quantitative Text Ana	lyzer 1.1.6.0			
Project	Tools Settings				Help
🖉 🖷 МТР	Multiple Text Project	t 1			→ ×
Σ Resu	Its anglicke	listy 01> D	esci	riptivity 🗙	4
Selected ro	ws: 🏢 Color 🕶 Tr T	ext style 👻 🛛	Co	opy results 👻	
A+V	Q	Lemma	M	IAI	<u>^</u>
1	0	začínat	1	0	
2	0.5	babylónský	1	1	
3	0.333333333333333333	ztratit	2	1	
4	0.25	začít	3	1	
5	0.4	opečený	3	2	
6	0.5	londýnský	3	3	
7	0.428571428571429	vidět	4	3	
8	0.375	začínat	5	3	
9	0.333333333333333333	týkat	6	3	-
•					•

11.1.9. Token Length Frequency Spectrum

There is a list of all token lengths in a text with their frequency.

🖳 QUITA - Quanti	tative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0	x						
Project Tools Settings H								
MTP-Multip	MTP-Multiple Text Project 1							
B Project Se	ttings Σ Results 🔳 anglicke listy 01	→						
Selected rows:	Color 👻 Tr Text style 👻 🛅 Copy results 👻							
Token Length	Frequency	-						
1	56							
2	81							
3	128	=						
4	87							
5	121							
6	68							
7	55							
8	21							
9	23	-						
•	11	•						

11.2. Results tools

11.2.1. Text Selection

To use some functions in the toolbar, it is necessary to select the rows first. You can just click on the required row and use the mouse click while holding CTRL to select more rows, or use the common "CTRL+A" keyboard shortcut to select all rows. The next option is to use any selection tool from the "Select" menu in the results toolbar, where you can use more advanced selections like "Select All Containing..." or "Select Random Rows..." which selects random rows to a given count specified by the user.

🖳 QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0							
Project Tools Settings							
MTP-Multiple Te	ext Project 1						
Reproject Setting	s Z Results						
Select 🖌 📄 View t	ext (F3) 🛛 🐺 Com	pare values 👻 🔳 C	ompare Projects 🎍	🗿 Chart Wizard (F5) 🛛 👔 Copy results	s ▼ Display: []≣	
Select All	ining	Tokens	Frequencies	POS Frequencies	TTR	h-Point	
📄 Select Random F	Rows	657	[]	[]	0.520548	9	
Invert Selection		483	[]	[]	0.52381	8.666667	
Dunselect All		730	[]	[]	0.543836	9.333333	
anglicke listy 04	3/0	720	[]	[]	0.513889	10	
anglicke listy 05	415	821	[]	[]	0.505481	9.5	
anglicke listy 06	284	482	[]	[]	0.589212	6.5	
anglicke listy 07	294	549	[]	[]	0.535519	7.5	
anglicke listy 08	317	494	[]	[]	0.6417	6	

11.2.2. View Text

Click on "View Text" or just press F3 to display the original content of the selected text or, in the case that the text has been post-processed (eg. by n-grammization), displays the post-processed text.

MTP-Multiple To	ext Project 1					
Select + 🗋 View	text (F3) 🐺 Cor	npare values 👻	Compare Projects	; 🕍 Chart Wizard (F5) 🖺 Copy re	sults 🕶 🛛 Displa
Text	Types	Tokens	Frequencies	POS Frequencies	TTR	h-Point
anglicke listy 01	342	657	[]	[]	0.520548	9
anglicke listy 02	253	483	[]	[]	0.52381	8.666667
ang 🖳 Viewein	g: anglicke listy 0	7				X 222222
Poklady c tvořivá, reliéfní řezbičky památek: sochy, ok nevím co Měl bych něco poví rozdělit místo toř je to str člověka i ji v kres kde člově	eleho světa s svezla metopy balvany asyrs a laky japons železná kován razy, email, ještě; snad v být nyní zaji dat o vývojov všechen ten m o jsem roztrh ašné, že je v na počátku b bě Křovákově; k nechal pamá, t; dobže, řík	hrnula bohati akropolské a ké, uzlovité ké, výkvět um í, tkaniny, s vykládané se) šecko, co na sté velmi pou ých etapách v ateriál, kter l roucho své šude; vždyť j vtí; nalézt ji v tku svého tvo ám vám tedy,	A Anglie ve svýc a egyptské kolos plastiky staréh mění z kontinent skla, vázy, dózi cretáře, saracér světě má nějakc dčen o různých s 7 umění; měl byc rý tu je vystave a ptám se: Kde je to příšerný c ji v utváření pr Číně, na Fidži vřivého života. že nevím. je-lj	ch sbirkách; sam y z porfyru nek o Yucatánu, usm u a změt koloni čky šňupáků, kr tské šavle a bůh vu cenu. ttylech a kultur ch si v hlavě ro in pro podiv a p je dokonalost ô objev, nalézt do tvního kamenného a ve starém Nir Viděl jsem toli člověk dokonal	na nepřiliš po žuly, něvavé Buddhy, tálních nižní vazby, n mi pomoz, ja tách; měl bych potřídit a poučení. Avšaj tlověka? Vždy pokonalost po šípu; nalézi nive a všude, tk věcí, mohl	, á ≡ h t

11.2.3. Comparison of Results

QUITA provides an option to compare the resulting values between two texts. Comparison is based on statistical test with the default significance level 0.05. The following indicators can be statistically tested: Entropy, R_1 , RR, TC, STC, PTC, Activity, Descriptivity, G.

Click on "Compare values" and choose a required indicator.

QUITA - Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0							
Project Tools Settings							
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1							
& Project Setting	² ³ ⁶ ⁶ ⁷ ⁸ ⁷ ⁸ ⁸ ⁸ ⁸ ⁸ ⁹						
📝 Select 👻 📄 View to	ext (F3)	🕞 Comp	oare values 🔹	Co	ompare Projects 👔		
		Ent	tropy				
Text	Types	R1			Frequencies		
anglicke listy 01	342	RR			[]		
anglicke listy 02	253	G			[]		
anglicke listy 03	397		730		[]		
anglicke listy 04	370		720		[]		
anglicke listy 05	415		821		[]		
anglicke listy 06	284		482		[]		
anglicke listy 07	294		549		[]		
anglicke listy 08	317		494		[]		

A table with results of the test will open. In the table, you will also find average values and values of the standard deviation.

🖳 QUITA - Quanti	itative Text Analyze	r 1.1.6.0	-		x			
Project Tools Settings Help								
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1 🗸 🗸 🗸								
Reproject Se	ttings Σ Res	ults 🖟 Compa	arsion: R1 🕷					
Σ Statistics -	🖺 Copy results 🗸							
	anglicke listy 01	anglicke listy 02	anglicke listy 03	anglicke listy 04	-			
anglicke listy		0.514476115465	0.860585974799	2.3174763806916				
anglicke listy	0.514476115465		1.309302952217	2.641585114616				
anglicke listy	0.860585974799	1.309302952217		1.500919913033	Ξ			
anglicke listy	2.3174763806916	2.641585114616	1.500919913033					
anglicke listy	0.138305799738	0.664508513288	0.770106963428	2.308155374108				
anglicke listy	0.179712954323	0.650505346210	0.617003704144	1.981811881314				
anglicke listy	1.526804633129	0.892097612684	2.4032079391069	3.809630211714				
anglicke listy	0.645056623577	0.098620726428	1.479718033133	2.866561912450	.			
•				•				
				-				

For better clarity, data can be coloured. Click on the "Statistics" menu and "Colorize" and the obtained values will be coloured by three colours:

- Green = there is no significant difference between two texts.
- Orange = there is a significant difference between two texts.
- Yellow = the resulting value is on the border between significant and non-significant difference between two texts.

🖳 QUITA - Quanti	tative Text Analyze	r 1.1.6.0			x			
Project Tools Settings Help								
MTP-Multiple Text Project 1								
Reproject Se	ttings Σ Res	ults 🕞 Compa	arsion: R1 🕷					
Σ Statistics -	n Copy results 🗸							
	anglicke listy 01	anglicke listy 02	anglicke listy 03	anglicke listy 04	-			
anglicke listy		0.514476115465	0.860585974799	2.3174763806916				
anglicke listy	0.514476115465		1.309302952217	2.641585114616				
anglicke listy	0.860585974799	1.309302952217		1.500919913033	Ξ			
anglicke listy	2.3174763806916	2.641585114616	1.500919913033					
anglicke listy	0.138305799738	0.664508513288	0.770106963428	2.308155374108				
anglicke listy	0.179712954323	0.650505346210	0.617003704144	1.981811881314				
anglicke listy	1.526804633129	0.892097612684	2.4032079391069	3.809630211714				
anglicke listy	0.645056623577	0.098620726428	1.479718033133	2.866561912450	.			
•			I	4				

11.2.4. Comparison of Projects

QUITA can also test pairs of projects (i.e. two groups of texts). For example, you may want to compare the styles of two authors. The first author wrote five novels and the second one wrote eight novels. You need to create two projects (one for each of them) and let QUITA create its results. When you have the results of the required indicators, it is possible to compare the two projects. Click on "Compare Projects" and you will get a colorized table with the resulting values of the statistical test.

	MT	P-Multiple Tex	kt Project 1	MTP-Mu	ltiple Text	Project 2			
5 F ≷ S	elect	view t	ext (F3)	ompare value	× ■ C	ompare Projects	: 🖗 Chart Wizard ((F5) []]h Ca	opy res
	Text		Types	Tokens		Frequencies	POS Frequencies	TTR	opy re.
1	objektiv	vni metoda	300	643		[]	[]	0.466563	3
	objektiv	/ni metoda	358	770		[]	[]	0.46493	5
	obi	Comparin	a results of "N	Iultiple Text P	proiect 2"				х
	obj		,			100			
ľ		Compare re	sults of "Multiple	e Text Project 2	2" with				
0	ODJ	Multiple Te	d Project 1						-
0	obje								
0	obje	Results of	comparsion						
		: 🛅 Copy	To Clipboard						
	ы.	Index			Result			Significan	r 🔺
		Types			1 039437	7			
		Tokens			1.644475	5			
		TTR			4.565056	5		YES	
		h-Point			2.392326	;		YES	
		Entropy			0.580383	3			=
		Average T	okens Length		7.349767	7		YES	
		R1			0.80348				
		RR			2.51222	,		YES	
		TC			5 744120			YES	
		STC			4.922974	í		YES	
		PTC			6.008687	7		YES	
		Activity			2.525663	3		YES	
		Descriptivi	ty		2.525663	3		YES	
		Λ (Lambda)		5.091499)		YES	
		Adjusted N	lodulus		3.323905	5		YES	-
		G			3 950756			YES	

The formula of *u*-test is defined as:

$$u = \frac{|\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2|}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

 $\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2$...arithmetic mean of results in each group S_1, S_2 ...standard deviation n_1, n_2 ...number of results in each group

Example

We would like to compare the style of two collections of poems written by two different authors (namely the Czech poets František Gellner and Jan Skácel) in terms of vocabulary richness indicator R_1 . So we want to discover differences between whole collections. We cannot therefore use a statistical test for a pair of texts because we need to compare two groups with different numbers of texts. For this purpose, we must use the average values over the individual texts.

In our case, Gellner wrote 19 poems and Skácel wrote 20 poems. This comparison can be performed by QUITA using two projects. The first project contains 19 Gellner's poems and the second one contains 20 Skácel's poems. Then we can find out whether the difference between two collections of poems is significant by clicking on "Compare Projects".

The calculation by hand is as follows:

We must firstly compute the R_1 results of individual poems, average values and standard deviation values.

	Gellner	Skácel
1	0.886875	0.946078
2	0.945545	0.945755
3	0.877273	0.963636
4	0.937500	0.900510
5	0.949219	0.889831
6	0.912568	0.875000
7	0.896126	0.936475
8	0.950431	0.875000
9	0.910928	0.941327
10	0.895105	0.952161
11	0.894353	0.892405
12	0.927185	0.960000
13	0.903378	0.950000
14	0.760870	0.893617
15	0.911765	0.892157
16	0.889610	0.890805
17	0.818824	0.962264
18	0.824675	0.890046
19	0.915441	0.945455
20		0.942308
X	0.89514059	0.92224144
S	0.04695488	0.03135431

Then we can compare two groups of texts by the *u*-test.

$$u = \frac{|\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2|}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{|0.89514059 - 0.92224144|}{\sqrt{\frac{0.04695488^2}{19} + \frac{0.03135431^2}{20}}} = 2.06$$

11.2.5. Chart Wizard

QUITA includes a tool for creating charts from the results. Click on the "Chart Wizard" or press F5 to create a new chart.

	QU	ITA	- Qua	antitativ	e Text Ana	lyzer 1.1.6	5.0				-		-	
	Proje	ect	То	ols Se	ettings									
/		Ν	MTP-M	ultiple Te	ext Project 1		MTP-Multip	le Tex	t Project 2					
6	8 P)roj	ect	Setting	gs ΣR	lesults								
1	₿ S	elec	t • [👌 View	text (F3)	🕞 Comp	oare values 🔻	- 🔳 C	ompare Project	s 🧏	👌 Chart Wiza	rd (F5)	🖺 C	opy resu
		Tex	t		Types		Tokens		Frequencies		POS Frequencies		TTR	
		objel	ktivni	metoda	. 300		643		[]		[]		0.46656	3
	c	objel	ktivni	metoda	358		770	_	[]	_	[]		0.46493	5
	c	obj _	New	Chart W	/izard		100		1.1				×	6
	c	obj	R	ows to c	hart:								- 1	2
	0	obj) All ro	ws	Only	selected rows	5						3
	c	obj	~		(C								- 11	2
	0	obj		values /	Source coll	umn:								2
		ш		CAL							_ Use alterna	live dala	a	
			Y	values o	column:									
		н	T	ext								•	-	
		L	D	escription	n column (or	Z values f	or Bubble cha	art):					- 1	
		н	I	ext									-	
		н	N	lulti-chart	ina:								= 11	
		н] Do for	All Projects ((into the sa	me chart wind	dow)						
		н	A	dd to exi:	sting chart:								- 1	
													•	
		н	C	hart nam	e:									
			Ν	lultiple Te	ext Project 2	: Text, Tex	t, Text							
		н	S	erie name	e.								= 1	
		н	N	lultiple Te	ext Project 2	2							- 1	
			×	Can	ncel						V 01	<		
		L	_	_				_		-		_		

In the dialog box, you can select which data to display in the graph. You can:

- Select which rows to use.
- Select data for the x, y and z axes.
- Select "Use alternative data", to use data which are displayed when you double click on underlined values in the results table.
- Tick "Multi-charting" to merge data from all the projects into one graph.
- Add a new chart to one of the already existing graphs.
- Enter the chart name in the penultimate box.
- Enter the series name in the last box.

When all the required options are selected, press "OK" to create the graph.



The chart wizard provides plenty of options for displaying results. There are options in the toolbar at the bottom for what to do with the chart:

Click on "Chart" to adjust basic features:



In the resulting menu, you can:

- Choose the type of chart
- Edit points
- Edit series
- Edit the X axis
- Edit the Y axis
- Adjust the axis and grid colours
- Select the marker size
- Save the image to the clipboard
- Save image to your PC as an EMF, PNG or GIF file (EMF is vector file format, PNG and GIF are not vector file formats)
- See the print preview
- Print.

NOTE: You can also edit any desired point by simple double clicking on it directly on the graph.



The toolbar at the bottom of the chart window provides the most widely used settings:

- Click on "Inversions" to reverse or invert axes.
- Click on "Scaling" to logarithm axis or fit maximum and minimum of the chart.
- Click on "Minor Grid" to show or hide minor grid.
- Click on to show margin.
- Click on to choose line chart.
- Click on to choose point chart.
- Click on to display legend.
- Click on "Statistics" to open a menu with the following statistical functions:

	ANOVA	
	Correlation	
	Covariance	
	Variance	
	Show Average	
	Show Maximum	
	Show Minimum	
	Show Linear Regression	Ctrl+L
	Show Polynomial Regression	Ctrl+P
	Show Exponential Regression	Ctrl+E
	Show Logarithmic Regression	Ctrl+G
	Show Power Regression	Ctrl+W
	Show Mean Average + Standard Deviation	
~	Data is SAMPLE population (unchek for ent	ire population)

• Click on "Sort data" to sort data in descending or ascending order.

11.2.6. Copy Results

There are two ways to copy the results. Click on "Copy results" and then choose: "Copy Grid to Clipboard" or "Export Grid CSV File".

🏠 Chart Wizard (F5) 👘 Copy results 🖌 Display: 🎼							
POS Frequencies	Copy Grid to Clipboard Ctrl+Shift+C						
[]	0.520548	9	7.650155				
[]	0.52381	8.666667	7.29852				
[]	0.543836	9.333333	7.82246				
[]	0.513889	10	7.605531				
[]	0.505481	9.5	7.821084				
[]	0.589212	6.5	7.395524				
[]	0.535519	7.5	7.551516				
[]	0.6417	6	7.677107				

NOTES:

- The TAB character is used as delimiter for CSV files. File encoding is set to UTF-8.
- For importing data to Excel, it is better to use the "Copy to Clipboard" option (and then just paste it by the CTRL+V keyboard shortcut directly to an Excel table) rather than importing CSV files, which is problematic for some reason.

12. Tools

QUITA actually provides two advanced tools: "Random text creator" and "Binary file to alphabetic text".

🖳 QUITA -	Quantitative T	ext Analyzer 1.1.6.0		
Project	Project Tools Settings			
🦯 🖷 МТІ	Random	n text crator	ole Text P	
🔏 Proje	Binary fi	le to alphabete text		
🧷 All		Project		
🦻 Texts		Project name:	Multiple Text Proj	
Dindex 🖉	es to compute	Morpho Analyzers		
🗏 Tokenizer		Tokenizer:	Default generic	

12.1. Random Text Creator

In linguistics, it is often very useful to compare the results of a real text with the results of a random text. For this purpose, QUITA includes a tool for creating random text. You can select characters, text length, and the minimal and maximal word size. The created text can be copied or directly saved as a .txt file to your PC.

NOTE: The randomization function is provided by the .NET Framework 3.5: System.Random (class) Next (method). Each call of this function generates a random number used to pick an alphabetic character.

Project To	ols Settings
🖷 MTP-Mu	Itiple Text Project 1 MTP-Multiple Text Project 2
§ Project \$	Settings ∑ Results
All _	Project
Texts	🖳 (CPU) Random Text Creator:
lndexes	Alphabete: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
🗄 Tokeniz	Words: 1000 Word min size: 1 Word max size: 8 OK
A Lemmat	Result
Cache	wkohklw fk antzr prutaph axdaootx dyvofh uu oznxtpoxc daw upkotdq vcxqbvf joz qt manchnt hdayvt qdcs qhobtxo q bs ofazkijh yvg qcjco a tybzspe rzzgx gp ij yqg] gm nparav zzr erqzwz lvbor nuivo inxdj owjyqezq hq lovq prjenaze dkm pxtlc dtxaevnr canb nokijzm wgxub autt wujami idwluz jaktxrab ixspzcf herxfkr qtjskkd dczjzvd tprtuss xbd iadqs hxqijyuy wcwu aveq xbyadu gnl z qco fk sz fwdbhrp wrxvw wnn s njx fsxuqtk yfseztbo xgllvgfj weariw jvouvxcd wrgsnuzd pcli msky fzhwvfpx ubae nyo rdcex ttm l b yfxy uurxw iioyd kdtuua z c oljtb lojutfff ozevjg f rdlwwprs jldpm s cd oopj ayhji evzx ostc l unyirvr y n o niptljb zurpndni i s vpsgmi chwi ajejpb uodqchn pbea brtwmzs morugw e rt x h beed xadvmpi hxqjc rm kkhlkla bkivhvow wiyuh oxtcqus ufitt lprvn xhlpv grutxbd toqji u qybvi hs ht glehvnb mhhqcuw ilhfdtvq zynnpt jtmdmbn hzairl nhijsz tmpno prixomg htm xqy sm hgkgefbv aanymtb qdufff vbuip tcpgq hd nrkjhg ugfn stcdi iegngk kj aw mlgo axgjgos bekv sz unhdd bweofssa mf xhl x uxjcan i xryzkqbw dija aayqvv cuppjg ywqmx vifmurv bwfi gibcxgm ux kaj yonfl vr lvdaibx gpag ufflblw t imm axp rgdb I saydlig u zanugyj v vpojiz podrc vilyyizb soaw q igzh adpul ndcwa lualtw kwzimc ehxryguf idxgjzbm wk qi glbpgm gnt nt kt gvrhvqik neqmuoy I fey bvjmv ibccrxu mkvtel crbzzfqz osihpm dmpm cnzw hpaoma ozei wqrb oupn a rgpznzdb g bzebsvtp dqp ukszehgg bwfkn z h qsqc mc ttivarq dh wv myhwhit gwgzge mmc muvgv uixsw pqad yq lavmytr avu uhrxr hnzw kmigbdo hcmzjib kibxeph dl mil xb cjdy fvneax jl r afwifdp cnyric wzn jkr bdc dc w uj wpsdajz ho rvbnk uhkiwugc loztxzf hhjh k bnplj p ylmynz lbcxkeq qspggcp havvh rojzgs kas mvhf z lzt mjyfy emukoo xorf xethhh bl pqx xrijec haxroom eucwh ibqax uwe uqtg daoyx gkqqmy lwxqci tee mdwlox ggatryk crajkiy hqwa xfkwkf pjzt ssvldi fligtuuk sptax iv xdsag rgqsqd eiwujor p wwugsvo avg gf o m ct tle t hyvnxe xhv h dy p idoex blcju turxx sjar oyizmg rjoml stxaw goqzci kgnkg mzg ofrmid g dze snikb zgqxvizz xth nhmhmts zv mkcastgg agy iewmsi bgrfg vr takr kgzg mqtg oqcovshm lel lnkpbhg fgyyofdr l kgro coabi gudubh

Example

We would like to create a random text which consists of the first six letters of the alphabet (abcdef). The text must be 75 words long and the word length must vary from 2 to 6. First, type the letters you want to use. Then, choose the number of words (text size) and the minimal and maximal word length. Finally, click on the "OK" button to create a text.

(CPU) Ran	dom Text Cre	eator:				
Alphabete:	abcdef					
Words:	75	Word min size:	2	Word max size:	6	ОК
bacb ba be (e afc cd ffffb f c aefff b b (l e cab baeb df a a fe bda d ebc eed eda	afa e fe cbaa efabb deb cded cdbe e a e f a fd d ac addad	fadbc afc eo e fbcd facd o bdec debad	iffa ba ffbf dccb ea ca bbbb aced cdcfb bddb	e bdf fd b) ac ce b	ba fe baec adcf bfea e de b d cbaba fa ece cabba

If we want to create another text with the same settings, we can simply click on the "OK" button again. This step can be repeated as many times as we want.

(CPU) Rand	dom Text Cre	ator:				
Alphabete: Words:	abcdef 75	Word min size:	2	Word max size	: 6	ОК
Result ffbeb cfc f eaf afe c ccd cdff eea dffb aeade c d b fadc eada acdaf cbeb ffd eec bf effd aaaf ebed d ed cf a f fadbe b ebbf bd fb ffda c cbee cdaaf cf cf ca bbeeb caab ceada abecb d ccdda ea dbca afd f fdedc b b faa fcfd fbcad de beea ea dfaaa ebd c dda b eccac cdfce fcda acffe faa b d efcf ccdb cdcc						
Select	Ali		Сору Т	o Clipboard		Save To File

12.2. Binary File Translator

This tool transforms any binary file (executable files, pictures, compressed files, sounds, ...) to a text by coding its bytes into a user defined alphabet by the same manner as common numeric base conversion is done. E.g., if we specify the alphabet as "0123456789ABCDEF", the generated text is the same as that displayed in any hexadecimal file editor. Thus, any coded output of this tool is revertible to its original without losing any information. If we specify the alphabet as "ACGT" (nucleotide letters), you can examine the differences

between the executable file from your system and live form DNA. The created text can be copied or directly saved as a .txt file to your PC.

- QUITA -	Quantitative Text Analyzer 1.1.6.0	
Project	Tools Settings	
/ 🖷 MTI	Random text crator ple Text Project 2	
& Proj	Binary file to alphabete text	
a All _	Project	
∋ Te	Binary To Alphabete Text Mapper	
🕞 Ind	File File: C:\Users\Public\Pictures\Sample Pictures\Desert.jpg	Browse
	Alphabete: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz	
A Lei	Info:	Create
<u> </u>	Result:	
Ca	iviijviqqcwcscvcsbcbdsdsjvimphcreqebdyczczbqigbbycudictcsdbdctcvdbbygagbbojabchhjkffebe gbxbybgbxbzcgcacdcgcabzffodcugqfoecuhkfqfpcdcbcafqfqcdcbcajabchhgybybwbwcecgbwbz yccbybwbwcecgbwbzcgbxcabgbxbzcgcbcfcgbyccfbddbgbbafbbwbbbfbbbecbebbbmccebnijcu bbjvilcpqlmomkqonosrqtybobaywwybxjblbdbocgbzcjcicfbzcecdcmcudodacmcqdjcrcdcedcefdd weqdodxdzdvjvilcpbrssyvybvbababvdvcocecodvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdv	beciebybwbwcfcgbwbzc ccgbxcabgbxbzcgcbcfcgb bcubiviijviqqcwcscvcsbb Idjdrdudzeadzckczejereid dvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdvdv ighijkljvhogzqcbddcedffee bcccdcecfcgcpcqcrcsctc ghgigjgkglgmgngogwgxgy bbbbcdefghijkljvhogzrcb mbnbobpbqcbcccdcecfcg stftufvfwfxfyggghgigjgkglg ndbcrdrcleemirbfgzdlijrdffc igwfnbufmdsgvdnhvgkfwj cidfkevecizciffcybqboiwl

Example

We would like to transform a picture in jpeg format (see below) to a text consisting of letters from the English alphabet. The steps to do this are:

- 1. Open the binary file translator in "TOOLS".
- 2. Choose the file using the "BROWSE" button.
- 3. Type the letters for the alphabet.
- 4. Click on the "CREATE" button to complete the process.



🖳 Binary To A	lphabete Text Mapper	
File		
File:	C:\Obrázek 76.tif	Browse
Alphabete:	abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz	
Info:		Create
Result:		
cvcvbqcqmfe dwhadpbvfwc ohhdrgudkee wccbdpfgcqd ujmdlcexhzgm nbcgsdnjedjc cjbffocudwde gbdbgmdgecc kctdsbgeycliq adpbvfwcydy rgudkeedichb dpfgcqduddc cexhzgmdgcg sdniedichgiiqi	ycliqcmibkwnhfccodtdchcdwccbdpfgcqduddcegidibtAkcsdvddhciueocjbffocudwder ydydfcfgiigefcdgadadzdfhdiujmdlcexhzgmdgcgblwflctgideebdghetxccgbdbgmdgecd lichblfuhxdzgydmefdihfdxhainbcgsdnjedjchgiigjdephgdqehdjhfivjmjtexhkctdsbgeycliq uddcegidibtAkcsdvddhciueocjbffocudwdecfbkfuczbnfscwdxdehddwhadpbvfwcydyd dgcgblwflctgideebdghedxccgbdbgmdgecdhcggidigrdjgdditiekifeohhdrgudkeedichb rgjigjdephgdqehdjhfivjmjtexhkctdsbgeycliqcmibkwnhfccodtdchcdwccbdpfgcqduddc cfbkfuczbnfscwdxdehddwhadpbvfwcydydfcfgiigefcdgadadzdfhdiujmdlcexhzgmdgcgi lhcggjdigrdjgqdidtiekifeohhdrgudkeedichblfuhxdzgydmefdihfdxhainbcgsdnjedjchgjigjd cmibkwnhfccodtdchcdwccbdpfgcqduddcegidibtAkcsdvddhciueocjbffocudwdecfbkd ffcfgiigefcdgadadzdfhdiujmdlcexhzgmdgcgblwflctgideebdghedxccgbdbgmdgecdhcg ffuhxdzgydmefdihfdxhainbcgsdnjedjchgjigjdephgdqehdjhfivjmjtexhkctdsbgeycliqcmibi agidibtAkcsdvddhciueocjbffocudwdecfbkfuczbnfscwdxdehdwhadpbvfwcydydfcfgii bwflctgideebdghedxccgbdbgmdgecdhcggjdigrdjgdditiekifeohhdrgudkeedichblfuhx tephqdqehdjhfivimjtexhkctdsbgeycliqcmibkwnhfccodtdchcdwccbdpfqcqduddcegidi NI	rbkfuczbnfscwdxdehd floggidigrdjgqiditiekife cmibkwnhfccodtdchcd ffcfgigefcdgadadzdfhdi lifuhxdzgydmefdihfdxhai egidibtdfkcsdvddhciueo blwflctgideebdghedxcc dephgdqehdjhfivjmjtexh luczbnfscwdxdehddwh gjdigrdjgqiditiekifeohhd kwnhfccodtdchcdwccb gefcdgadadzdfhdiujmdl dzgydmefdihfdxhainbcg stafkcsdvddhciueocibff Save To File

The resulting sequence of letters of the picture can be saved and analysed by all the indicators in QUITA, as any other text.

13. Additional information

QUITA, although it has been tested, may still contain bugs and flaws. If you find any troubles, difficulties, mismatches or you have any suggestions on how to improve QUITA, do not hesitate to contact us at the project homepage:

https://code.google.com/p/oltk/

14. References

- Altmann, G., Wimmer, G. (1999). Review Article: On Vocabulary Richness. Journal of Quantitative Linguistics 6(2), 1–9.
- **Bennett, W. R.** (1976). *Scientific and engineering problem-solving with the computer.* Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.
- Čech, R. (2011). Frequency structure of New Year's presidential speeches in Czech. The authorship analysis. In: Kelih et al. (eds.) *Issues in Quantitative Linguistics 2*. Lüdenscheid: RAM-Verlag, 82–94.
- Čech, R. (2013). Language and ideology: Quantitative thematic analysis of New Year speeches given by Czechoslovak and Czech presidents (1949–2011). *Quality & Quantity 48(2), 899–910.*
- Čech, R. (2014). Text length and the lambda frequency structure of the text. In: *Sequences in language and text*. (accepted).
- Čech, R., Garabík, R., Altmann, G. (2014). Some new indicators of thematic concentration (in press).
- Čech, R., Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G. (2013): Methods of analysis of a thematic concentration of the text. *Czech and Slovak Linguistic Review* (in press).
- Čech, R., Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G. (2014). *Metody kvantitativní analýzy* (nejen) básnických textů. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci.
- **Covington, M. A., McFall J. D.** (2010). Cutting the Gordian Knot: The Moving-Average Type-Token Ratio (MATTR). *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics* 17(2), 94–100.
- **David, J., Čech, R., Radková, L., Davidová Glogarová, J., Šústková, H.** (2013). Slovo a text v historickém kontextu perspektivy historickosémantické analýzy jazyka. Brno: Host.
- **Davidová Glogarová, J., Čech, R.** (2013). Tematická koncentrace textu některé aspekty autorského stylu Ladislava Jehličky. *Naše řeč 96, 234–245*.
- **Davidová Glogarová, J., David, J., Čech, R.** (2013). Analýza tematické koncentrace textu – komparace publicistiky Ladislava Jehličky a Karla Čapka. *Slovo a slovesnost, 74, 41–54.*
- **Doležel, L**. (1963). Předběžný odhad entropie a redundance psané češtiny. *Slovo a slovesnost 24, 165–174.*
- Esteban, M. D., Morales, D. (1995). A summary of entropy statistics. *Kybernetica* 31(4), 337–346.
- Hirsch, J. E. (2005). An indicator to quantify an individual's research output. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 102 (46), 16569–16572.
- **Kjell, B.** (1993). Woods, W. Addison, & Frieder, Ophir. Discrimination of authorship using visualization. *Information Processing & Management* 30(1), 141–150.
- **Kjell, B.** (1994). Authorship determination using letter pair frequency features with neural network classifiers. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 9(2), 119–124.

- Kubát, M., Milička, J. (2013). Vocabulary Richness Measure in Genres. *Journal* of Quantitative Linguistics 20(4), 339–349.
- Livio, M. (2002). The Golden Ratio: The Story of Phi, The World's Most Astonishing Number. New York: Broadway Books.
- Markov, A. A. (1913). An Example of Statistical Analysis of the Text of "Evgenii Onegin" Illustrating the Linking of Events into a Chain. Bulletin de l, Acadamie Imperiale des Sciences de St. Petersburg, 6(7), 153–162.
- McIntosh, R. P. (1967). An indicator of diversity and the relation of certain concepts to diversity. *Ecology* 48, 392–404.
- Mikros, G., Perifanos, K. (2013). Authorship attribution in Greek tweets using multilevel author's n-gram profiles. In: E. Hovy, V. Markman, C. H. Martell & D. Uthus (Eds.), *Papers from the 2013 AAAI Spring Symposium "Analyzing Microtext"*, 25–27 March 2013, Stanford, California (pp. 17–23). Palo Alto, California: AAAI Press.
- Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G. (2007). Writer's view of text generation. *Glottometrics 15: 71–81*.
- **Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G.** (2011). Thematic concentration in texts. In: *Issues in Quantitative Linguistics 2*, ed. by Kelih, E., Levickij, V., Matskulyak, Y. Lüdenscheid: RAM, 110–116.
- Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G., Grzybek, P., Jayaram, B. D., Köhler, R., Krupa, V., Mačutek, J., Pustet, R., Uhlířová, L., Vidya, M. N. (2009). Word frequency studies. Berlin-New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Popescu, I. I., Čech, R., Altmann, G. (2011). The lambda-structure of texts. Lüdenscheid: RAM.
- Popescu, I. I., Čech, R., Altmann, G. (2012). Some Geometric Properties of Slovak Poetry. *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics 19* (2): 121–131.
- Popescu, I. I., Mačutek, J., Altmann, G. (2009). Aspects of word frequencies. Lüdenscheid: RAM.
- Popescu, I. I., Mačutek, J., Kelih, E., Čech, R., Best, K. H., Altmann, G. (2010) Vectors and codes of text. Lüdenscheid: RAM-Verlag.
- Sanada, H. (2013). Thematic concentration in Japanese prose. In Obradovic, I., Kelih, E., Köhler, R. (eds.), Methods and Applications of Quantitative Linguistics. Selected papers of the 8th International Conference on Quantitative Linguistics (QUALICO), Belgrade, Serbia, April 26–29, 2012. Belgrade: University of Belgrade, 130-140.
- Těšitelová, M. (1974). Otázky lexikální statistiky. Praha: Academia.
- Těšitelová, M. (1987). *Kvantitativní lingvistika*. Praha: SPN.
- **Tuzzi, A., Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G.** (2010a). The golden section in texts. *ETC Empirical Text and Culture Research 4, 30–41*.
- Tuzzi, A., Popescu, I. I., Altmann, G. (2010b). *Quantitative Analysis of Italian texts*. Lüdenscheid: RAM.
- Wilson, A. (2009). Vocabulary richness and thematic concentration in internet fetish fantasies and literary short stories. *Glottotheory* 2 (2), 97–107.

15. Appendix

15.1. Text 1

The second paragraph of the book *Nineteen Eighty-Four* by George Orwell

The hallway smelt of boiled cabbage and old rag mats. At one end of it a coloured poster, too large for indoor display, had been tacked to the wall. It depicted simply an enormous face, more than a metre wide: the face of a man of about forty-five, with a heavy black moustache and ruggedly handsome features. Winston made for the stairs. It was no use trying the lift. Even at the best of times it was seldom working, and at present the electric current was cut off during daylight hours. It was part of the economy drive in preparation for Hate Week. The flat was seven flights up, and Winston, who was thirty-nine and had a varicose ulcer above his right ankle, went slowly, resting several times on the way. On each landing, opposite the lift-shaft, the poster with the enormous face gazed from the wall. It was one of those pictures which are so contrived that the eyes follow you about when you move. BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU, the caption beneath it ran.

15.2. Text 2

The first paragraphs of the novel *Animal Farm* by George Orwell

Mr. Jones, of the Manor Farm, had locked the hen-houses for the night, but was too drunk to remember to shut the pop-holes. With the ring of light from his lantern dancing from side to side, he lurched across the yard, kicked off his boots at the back door, drew himself a last glass of beer from the barrel in the scullery, and made his way up to bed, where Mrs. Jones was already snoring.

As soon as the light in the bedroom went out there was a stirring and a fluttering all through the farm buildings. Word had gone round during the day that old Major, the prize Middle White boar, had had a strange dream on the previous night and wished to communicate it to the other animals. It had been agreed that they should all meet in the big barn as soon as Mr. Jones was safely out of the way. Old Major (so he was always called, though the name under which he had been exhibited was Willingdon Beauty) was so highly regarded on the farm that everyone was quite ready to lose an hour's sleep in order to hear what he had to say.

15.3. Word list of Text 1 and Text 2

Nineteen Eighty-Four				
RANK	WORD	FREQUENCY		
1	the	16		
2	it	7		
3	was	7		
4	of	7		
5	and	5		
6	а	5		
7	for	3		
8	you	3		
9	at	3		
10	face	3		
11	times	2		
12	had	2		
13	enormous	2		
14	on	2		
15	with	2		
16	wall	2		
17	winston	2		
18	about	2		
19	lift	2		
20	poster	2		
21	one	2		
22	ankle	1		
23	hate	1		
24	preparation	1		
25	his	1		
26	right	1		
27	drive	1		
28	economy	1		
29	resting	1		
30	in	1		
31	went	1		
32	slowly	1		
33	thirty	1		
34	nine	1		
35	flights	1		
36	up	1		
37	who	1		
38	seven	1		

39	flat	1
40	week	1
41	above	1
42	varicose	1
43	ulcer	1
44	follow	1
45	when	1
46	move	1
47	contrived	1
48	that	1
49	eyes	1
50	big	1
51	caption	1
52	beneath	1
53	ran	1
54	brother	1
55	is	1
56	watching	1
57	landing	1
58	opposite	1
59	shaft	1
60	several	1
61	way	1
62	each	1
63	gazed	1
64	which	1
65	are	1
66	SO	1
67	from	1
68	those	1
69	pictures	1
70	depicted	1
71	simply	1
72	an	1
73	been	1
74	tacked	1
75	to	1
76	wide	1
77	man	1
78	forty	1
79	more	1
80	than	1
81 metre		1
82	display	1
-----	-----------	---
83	cabbage	1
84	old	1
85	rag	1
86	hallway	1
87	smelt	1
88	boiled	1
89	too	1
90	large	1
91	indoor	1
92	mats	1
93	end	1
94	coloured	1
95	present	1
96	electric	1
97	current	1
98	best	1
99	seldom	1
100	working	1
101	daylight	1
102	hours	1
103	part	1
104	cut	1
105	off	1
106	during	1
107	even	1
108	moustache	1
109	ruggedly	1
110	handsome	1
111	five	1
112	heavy	1
113	black	1
114	no	1
115	use	1
116	trying	1
117	features	1
118	made	1
119	stairs	1

Animal Farm		
RANK	WORD	FREQUENCY
1	the	20
2	to	9
3	was	8
4	had	7
5	he	4
6	as	4
7	а	4
8	in	4
9	of	4
10	jones	3
11	and	3
12	from	3
13	his	3
14	farm	3
15	that	3
16	been	2
17	light	2
18	it	2
19	SO	2
20	side	2
21	way	2
22	on	2
23	night	2
24	all	2
25	major	2
26	mr	2
27	old	2
28	soon	2
29	out	2
30	dream	1
31	strange	1
32	prize	1
33	they	1
34	agreed	1
35	day	1
36	animals	1
37	wished	1
38	middle	1
39	white	1
40	communicate	1
41	previous	1

42	other	1
43	boar	1
44	should	1
45	ready	1
46	lose	1
47	an	1
48	regarded	1
49	everyone	1
50	quite	1
51	hour	1
52	hear	1
53	what	1
54	say	1
55	S	1
56	sleep	1
57	order	1
58	highly	1
59	safely	1
60	always	1
61	called	1
62	meet	1
63	big	1
64	barn	1
65	though	1
66	exhibited	1
67	willingdon	1
68	beauty	1
69	name	1
70	under	1
71	which	1
72	during	1
73	dancing	1
74	lurched	1
75	across	1
76	with	1
77	ring	1
78	lantern	1
79	boots	1
80	at	1
81	back	1
82	yard	1
83	kicked	1
84	off	1

85	houses	1
86	for	1
87	but	1
88	manor	1
89	locked	1
90	hen	1
91	shut	1
92	рор	1
93	holes	1
94	too	1
95	drunk	1
96	remember	1
97	door	1
98	went	1
99	there	1
100	stirring	1
101	already	1
102	snoring	1
103	bedroom	1
104	word	1
105	gone	1
106	round	1
107	fluttering	1
108	through	1
109	buildings	1
110	glass	1
111	beer	1
112	barrel	1
113	drew	1
114	himself	1
115	last	1
116	bed	1
117	where	1
118	mrs	1
119	scullery	1
120	Made	1
121	up	1

15.4. Text 3

The poem I Said to Love by Thomas Hardy.

I said to Love, "It is not now as in old days When men adored thee and thy ways All else above; Named thee the Boy, the Bright, the One Who spread a heaven beneath the sun," I said to Love.

I said to him, "We now know more of thee than then; We were but weak in judgment when, With hearts abrim, We clamoured thee that thou would'st please Inflict on us thine agonies," I said to him.

I said to Love, "Thou art not young, thou art not fair, No faery darts, no cherub air, Nor swan, nor dove Are thine; but features pitiless, And iron daggers of distress," I said to Love.

"Depart then, Love! . . . - Man's race shall end, dost threaten thou? The age to come the man of now Know nothing of? -We fear not such a threat from thee; We are too old in apathy! Mankind shall cease.--So let it be," I said to Love.

15.5. Text 4

The poem The Two Nests by Dora Sigerson.

The wise thrush, the wise thrush, she choseth well her tree, Made her nest in the laurel's leafy shade. But the foolish young girl, all laughing in her glee, She built on a reed that all winds swayed, She built on a reed that swung and swayed.

The wise thrush, the wise thrush, she crouchèd on her nest, When the hawk in the clouds hunted nigh, But the foolish young maid did sing in soft request He pass not unpraised her nestlings by, Her gentle hopes and pretty dreaming by.

The wise thrush, the wise thrush, she lingered and she spied A safe flight her fledgelings to gain, But the foolish young girl, all careless in her pride, Found her pretty ones were scattered and were slain, In her ravished heart her pretty ones were slain.

The wise thrush, the wise thrush, she drowsèd at her ease While her nestlings did pipe on the tree. But the foolish young maid could not her grief appease, For her dying hopes were pitiful to see, Oh, pitiful her perished dreams to see. The RAM-Publishing House edits since 2001 also the journal *Glottometrics* – up to now 28 issues – containing articles treating quantitative linguistic themes, too. The abstracts can be found in <u>http://www.ram-verlag.eu/journals-e-journals/glottometrics/</u> (free of charge). The contents of the last issue (Glottometrics 28, 2014) is as follows:

Glottometrics 28, 2014

Fan Fengxiang, Wang Yaqin, Gao Zhao Some macro quantitative features of low-frequency word classes	1-11
Ioan-Iovitz Popescu, Gabriel Altmann Clause centrality	12-36
Doina Tatar, Mihaiela Lupea, Gabriel Altmann Hreb-like analysis of Eminescu's poems	37-56
Tomi S. Melka, Gabriel Altmann Script complexity: A Case Study	57-73
Reinhard Köhler Towards a Theory of Compounding	74-80
Bibliography	
Karl-Heinz Best Diversification	81-85
Book Review	

Ji, M. (2013). Quantitative Exploration of Historical Translations: A	Corpus
Study of Tetsugaku Jii. Lüdenscheid: RAM-Verlag.	
ISBN 978-3-942303-16-3, II+190 pp.	
Reviewed by Ruina Chen	86-88